# ORIGINAL 1

# - VOLUME - ONE -

1	STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY COURT:					
2	COUNTY OF ONONDAGA: CRIMINAL TERM: Part No. I:					
3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK					
4	The state of the s					
5	Indictment #: 2016-0961-1, superceding #: 2016-0061-1					
6	Index #: 16-0049					
7	VS. TRIAL					
	TONY W. JENNINGS,					
8	Defendant.					
9	CPCS 3					
10						
11	Criminal Courts Building 505 South State Street					
12	Syracuse, New York 13202 February 6, 7 and 8, 2017					
13	BEFORE: HONORABLE STEPHEN J. DOUGHERTY,  County Court Judge,					
14	and a jury					
15	APPEARANCES:					
16	William J. Fitzpatrick, Esq. District Attorney, Onondaga County					
17	BY: JOSEPH J. CENTRA, ESQ. Assistant District Attorney					
18	Criminal Courts Building, Fourth Floor Syracuse, New York 13202					
19	BY: JOHN A. LoFARO, ESQ.  Attorney for the Defendant					
20	307 South Clinton Street, #200 JUL 28 2017	•				
21	Syracuse, New York 13202 ONONDAGA CO CLERKS OFFI	Œ				
22	The Defendant, present in person					
2.3						
24						
25	Reported By: Patrick J. Reagan, RDR Official Court Reporter					

# TRIAL INDEX People v. TONY JENNINGS

February 6, 7, and 8, 2007 Indictment #: 2016-0961-1; Index #: 16-049

		Радост		Volume:
Monday, February 6, 20	17	<u>Pages:</u> 2		l
Tuesday, February 7, 20		189		11
Wednesday, February 8		322		11
Wednesday, restauty o	, 2017	J		. ••
- Index/Exhibits		363/364		
*	*	*	*	
Jury Selection:	<u>Court</u>	<u>Centra</u>	<u>LoFaro</u>	<u>Challenges</u>
Panel I	22	88	95	117
Panel II	125	156	160	163
Openings:	169	192	196	
	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect	Pocroce
People's Witness:	Direct	<u>C1055</u>	Neunect	Recross
Jeremy Decker	204	212	219/221	220
Darrin Ettinger	221	227	X X	220
Jennifer Wilson	233	242		
David P. Proud	233 247	254		
David P. Produ	247	254		
People rest	257			
Defendant's Witness:				
Howard Davis	264	267	269	270
Tony Jennings	271	290		
Defense rests	297			
Closing - LoFaro	301			
Closing - Centra	309			
Charge discussions	298/322			,
Court Charge	328			
Jury Notes	351 - 358			
Verdict	358 *		i.	

- Jennings - Trial - 2/6/17 -

MORNING SESSION - 2/6/17

(The following occurred in court at 10:48 p.m.)

THE COURT: Let's have Mr. Jennings. All right.

2

Ready?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Do you want me to call down?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Judge, could I address two things

before we get going?

could have a seat.

THE COURT: With him?

MR. LOFARO: Not really.

THE COURT: Too late. To the Bench, please?

MR. LOFARO: Okay. We can do it in open court.

THE COURT: We are here in the case of People versus Tony Jennings. Mr. Jennings is here with Mr. LoFaro. The People represented by Mr. Centra. And we are scheduled for a jury trial this morning. Mr. Jennings, you

We went through all our preliminary matters last week. We went through everything we need to go through. It did receive the Grand Jury minutes. I believe we have given both sides a copy of my decision on the sufficiency. I did find the Grand Jury minutes to be sufficient for the two charges that have come out as far as the indictment is concerned: Possession of controlled substances third degree, and criminal possession of controlled substance in

- Trial procedure discussion - 2/6/17 -

the fifth degree. So we are ready to go forward. And Mr. Centra, anything else we need to put on the record this morning?

MR. CENTRA: Yes, Your Honor. I have turned over to defense counsel the requisite Rosario material, that's included the Grand Jury minutes, the previous testimony from Officer Decker from the previous Grand Jury presentment, and also the lab's Rosario.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And Mr. LoFaro?

MR. LOFARO: Two issues, Judge. As the Court's well aware, my client and I do not see eye to eye on a number of issues. But the two that are immediately most pressing are the laundry list of witnesses that he had provided my office. I planned on calling -- it was his desire to testify himself.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. LOFARO: That's the witness that I had planned on calling. Beyond, beyond that issue, there is the issue of the suppression hearing minutes, which I have not ordered, nor has Mr. Centra. I don't believe that I need them. But I know that's a sticking point with my client. And I don't know where that will leaves us, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I will say this.

THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Your Honor?

	- Trial procedure discussion - 2/6/17 -				
1	THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Jennings. I will say				
2	this, Mr. LoFaro, as an attorney, John, how long have you				
3	been admitted to practice law?				
4	MR. LOFARO: 26 years, I think.				
5	THE COURT: All right. Over a quarter century,				
6	correct?				
7.	MR. LOFARO: Correct, Your Honor.				
8	THE COURT: You conducted the suppression				
9	hearing?				
10	MR. LOFARO: I did, Your Honor.				
11	THE COURT: You have your notes from that,				
12					
13	MR. LOFARO: I do, and a 17-page decision as				
14					
15	THE COURT: You have the decision from the Court,				
16	4				
17	MR. LOFARO: Correct.				
18	THE COURT: Was it Officer Decker that testified				
19	at the suppression hearing?				
20	MR. CENTRA: Yes, Your Honor.				
21	THE COURT: Same individual that testified at the				
22	Grand Jury?				
23	MR. CENTRA: Yes, Your Honor.				
24	THE COURT: You have the minutes in the Grand				
25	Jury now?				

5 - Trial procedure discussion - 2/6/17 -MR. LOFARO: I do, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: They have been turned over? 3 MR. LOFARO: They have, Judge. THE COURT: And Mr. Jennings testified at the 4 5 suppression hearing? 6 MR. LOFARO: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: He also testified at the Grand Jury? 8 MR. LOFARO: He did. 9 THE COURT: You have his testimony for that? 10 MR. LOFARO: I do, Judge. 11 And you feel, Mr. LoFaro, more than THE COURT: 12 prepared to go forward and try this case with the resources 13 that are available to you, is that correct? 14 MR. LOFARO: That's correct, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Jennings, 16 do you want to say something? 1.7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I feel that I 18 need to view those as well so I could also prepare the 19 proper defense. Because what the Officer said at the 20 suppression hearing is totally different than what he said 21 at the Grand Jury. So I feel that is vital for me to 22 prepare a proper defense. And I still haven't had the 23 actual -- I haven't seen the indictment. The bill that was 24 signed for me testifying from the first indictment, and the 25 second indictment. I have no police reports.

- Trial procedure discussion - 2/6/17 -

involving any type of documentation from what these officers said and stated in this case. I don't have anything. And I have asked for the suppression hearing minutes on numerous occasions. And was denied those by Judge Hafner. And was told that I would be presented with those. But I haven't had the opportunity to get those yet. That's vital because there is conflicting testimony provided by the officers.

THE COURT: Today, all we are doing is picking a jury. That's all. We are going to May 17th?

THE CLERK: And May 19th.

THE COURT: May 19th?

THE CLERK: A-hum.

THE COURT: Here you go. I am going to need these back at some point, but for Mr. Jennings, so we don't hold this up.

THE DEFENDANT: So.

THE COURT: Don't, don't. You have a bad habit, Mr. Jennings, of interrupting me. You don't want to do it. I have given Mr. LoFaro, though, he has represented as an officer of the Court, he doesn't need them to defend this case. Mr. Jennings has asked for them. I have given Mr. Jennings my copy of the suppression hearing minutes for both dates. So there you go. You have those now.

Also Mr. Jennings, we do have an issue that

don't have a problem calling him as a witness because it's

25

- Trial witness discussion -

his desire and it is his right, but I don't plan on calling any others, Judge.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe that, Your Honor.

I believe that those witnesses are dire involved, dire to this case.

THE COURT: Let me ask this.

THE DEFENDANT: -- to speak on my behalf. I haven't had the time to sit down with Mr. LoFaro because he hasn't, we haven't actually sat down to prepare a proper defense, Your Honor.

Jennings, only because I have looked at the file. I have seen the motions that have been put forward. I have read the Grand Jury minutes. I have read the testimony at the hearing. I can't imagine anything else Mr. LoFaro could have done so far to get us to the point. He somehow got the district attorney to give an offer which I am not sure I would go along with. That has been rejected. It's your right, wish here to have a jury trial.

As far as the witnesses you have on your list, at some point Mr. Centra can say to me, Judge, I want an offer of proof as to whether these witnesses are pertinent at all to this case. We could do that.

As far as the witness list, I just have to give the jury the names of potential witnesses, potential

9 - Trial witness discussion witnesses. Any of the potential witnesses, were they there 1 2 at the time of the stop? 3 MR. LOFARO: The one witness was, was the other 4 passenger. 5 THE DEFENDANT: Willie Jones. 6 THE COURT: Okay. That's, now I have the name of 7 Willie Jones. Who else do you have, John? I am asking Mr. 8 LoFaro, not you, Mr. Jennings. 9 (Attorney/client conference.) MR. LOFARO: His boss. Which again, I don't know 10 what the relevancy of an employer would be, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: What's his name? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Howard Davis. 14 MR. LOFARO: Howard Davis, Your Honor. 15 THE DEFENDANT: This is making a statement that I 16 made a statement that I was selling drugs and I was out of 1.7 work. And work was slow. And my employer is willing to 18 testify on my behalf in regards to my working at the time of the arrest and showing and proving that I was on the 19 20 payroll. 21 All I want right now is the names of THE COURT: 22 the witnesses. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Okav. 24 THE COURT: I don't care anything about them. I 25 haven't asked Mr. Centra why he is calling any of his

### - Trial witness discussion -

1 witnesses. I am not going to ask you why. You may or may not be calling these other. I have Willie Jones. 2 3 Howard Davis. Do you have anybody anyone else? 4 MR. LOFARO: Anyone else? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. David Chapman. 6 THE COURT: David -- is it Chaplain? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Chaplain. 8 THE COURT: Spell it. 9 THE DEFENDANT: D-a-v-i-d. C-h-a-p-l-a-i-n. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Hatisha Holmes. 12 THE COURT: Spell that, Hatisha? 13 THE DEFENDANT: H-a-t-i-s-h-a. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 THE DEFENDANT: H-o-l-m-e-s. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Officer Darrin Ettinger. 18 THE COURT: He is on the list. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Frank Fowler. 20 THE COURT: Chief of police? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Anybody else? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Mallory Livingston. 24 THE COURT: M-a-1-1-o-r-y?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

25

- Trial witness discussion -

THE COURT: And Livingston is

L-i-v-i-n-g-s-t-o-n. E or no E?

THE DEFENDANT: NO E. NO E.

THE COURT: Livingston, okay. Anybody else?

THE DEFENDANT: That's it, Your Honor.

potential witnesses. All with regards to that. All I do is ask counsel for an outside list of people that may testify. I will read those names to the jury. And once we are done with the People's case, if we get beyond any motion to dismiss, we can talk about the relevancy of any of those witnesses.

Mr. LoFaro, anything else?

MR. LOFARO: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And you both counsel understand that they have 15 peremptory challenges, correct? And what I would like to do, gentleman, is this. We will all come to the podium when we are ready. After the questions, we will come to the podium. And I will ask the questions that are listed on the podium. Those are more personal questions. I will ask them those questions. They will all have a seat.

When we come up for the challenges. What I do is I am going to have 21 jurors sitting here. We are only going to discuss the number that we need. So for the

### - Trial procedure discussion -

first, when I bring you up the first time, we are going to talk about 1 through 12. Let's say we get three. So now we need nine. So then we will talk about the next nine. We are not going to challenge all 21. You run out of all your challenges, we are only going to talk to the number that we need at that time. And it will be very straightforward when we get up there.

And I think we are ready to go. You guys ready to go? I am ready to go. I am ready to bring the jury up and get started.

As far as the lab results, John, are we ready to stipulate anything with regards to that? Whether it was indeed what is it, what was the results of the lab? I don't know if you wanted to do that, Mr. Centra? Joe, I don't know if you want to.

THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Your Honor. I have a question. Which indictment is going to be presented?

THE COURT: The most recent. Possession controlled substance third. Possession controlled substance fifth.

THE DEFENDANT: And the first one?

THE COURT: We don't talk about that. That's gone. This has superseded that.

THE DEFENDANT: If it superseded it?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Trial procedure discussion -

THE DEFENDANT: I am not understanding.

THE COURT: There was an indictment. The one count was gone. They went in and got a new indictment. And all you need to know, Mr. Jennings, is what you're fighting and which Mr. LoFaro has been fighting along.

THE DEFENDANT: No.

1.4

THE COURT: Don't interrupt me, Tony. Criminal possession of controlled substance third and the lesser count of criminal possession of controlled substance fifth degree. There was a C. The C is gone, through the work of Mr. LoFaro, by the way. The C is gone. And now we have a D-felony. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: The C is gone? And the third, the third that was charged?

THE COURT: That's still.

THE DEFENDANT: It's still there but the one charge was dismissed?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE DEFENDANT: The Judge said that that evidence was suspicious to be presented to the jury. It was in his decision order. This is what he said. I am not understanding why that's not being presented. Because the lab report was in when he made that decision, that determination, so.

THE COURT: All I know, Mr. Jennings, is it's to

### - Trial procedure discussion -

your benefit to have the C gone, and the D in its place, that's to your benefit. That's why we did it that way.

THE DEFENDANT: Actually, Your Honor, it's not to my benefit because it's showing that it's going from one substance to a whole totally different substance, and two different weight factors.

THE COURT: It's not showing anything. Because the jury is completely unaware of any of the legal machinations. They don't know these are the two counts that were submitted. Those are the two counts that we are going to proceed on.

And could we have the jury, please.

(The following occurred in court at 11:02 a.m.:)

SHERIFF DEPUTY: Judge, just to let you know, he doesn't want the handcuffs removed.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jennings, you don't want the handcuffs removed?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: You're going to be able to take notes with those on?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: As far as the issue goes, Mr.

Jennings has decided to wear his jail garb, which is fine.

We will make an admonition to the jury that was requested

by Mr. LoFaro. As far as the handcuffs go, that's not a

- Trial procedure discussion -

voluntary thing, Deputy, that's not his decision. That's yours, isn't it?

SHERIFF DEPUTY: It's up to the Court.

THE COURT: We are not going to have Mr. Jennings sitting there in handcuffs because there is no reason to have him there in handcuffs. There is no security issues that have been made aware of by the court officers or the deputies. So I am going to ask that the handcuffs be removed for Mr. Jennings.

THE DEFENDANT: I am not taking the cuffs off. I had the handcuffs on in the other trial.

THE COURT: Mr. Jennings, it's not your choice.

THE DEFENDANT: I am not taking the cuffs off.

am not taking the handcuffs off. Don't take the handcuffs off, any other time. There is no reason why these handcuffs come off. I am keeping the handcuffs on. I am not changing my clothes. This is how I am going to stay. There is no reason to take these handcuffs off. Please don't take these handcuffs off. Don't fight with me. Please don't take these handcuffs off. Please don't take the handcuffs off me of. Oh, come on, man.

SHERIFF DEPUTY: Stop it.

THE DEFENDANT: Leave the cuffs on me. You're going to break my arm, man. You're going to break my arm.

THE COURT: Deputies, deputies. I will make a

Ιf

- Trial procedure discussion -

record. You can stop. I will make a record of it.

Mr. Jennings is being 100 percent unreasonable about this. He wants to have the handcuffs on in front of the jury. It's his choice.

Now to have them on -- and if Mr. Jennings, certainly, we are not going to say anything negatively about the custody officers or anyone else because this is your decision.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, this was my decision.

THE COURT: Mr. Jennings, don't interrupt me.

you want to stay at this trial, you need to stop

interrupting me or you're going to not be here for the

trial, okay? All right.

It's your right to be here. But I make the orders in this Court. I have to keep the order. And basically, what you have done this morning is thwarting my attempts to keep the order in the court because the deputies don't feel you need to have the handcuffs on. I don't feel you need to have the handcuffs on. For whatever reason, you're choosing to do this. It doesn't make any sense to the Court or to the court staff why we are doing this.

And now, we made an attempt to let you be free-handed in front of the jury, so you can take whatever notes you need to take. You can use your hands any way you

#### - Trial procedure discussion -

would like to use them. And you have chosen against everyone's better judgment not to do so.

THE DEFENDANT: I can take notes with the handcuffs on, Your Honor. You're forcing the officers.

THE COURT: Mr. Jennings, tell me the reason you have to have handcuffs on?

THE DEFENDANT: What reason do I need them off?

THE COURT: Tell me the reason you want to have them on?

THE DEFENDANT: Because I have always had them on every time I come into the courtroom, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That doesn't make any sense.

THE DEFENDANT: Every time I come to Court, I always had them on.

THE COURT: I know that. Why do you want to have them on?

THE DEFENDANT: Why do I have to have them off?

I want them on. I always, usually have them on. I don't want nobody thinking, nobody, I don't want nobody thinking nothing else, I am trying to do any other, Your Honor.

That's why I chose to remain in the handcuffs.

THE COURT: Absolutely senseless. We will continue. Let's have the jury, when they are ready.

THE DEFENDANT: I had an officer almost try to break my arm.

- Trial procedure discussion -

THE COURT: No, they didn't. Mr. Jennings, don't say things that aren't true. I watched the whole thing.

THE DEFENDANT: Look, Your Honor. They are cutting my skin.

THE COURT: Mr. Jennings?

THE DEFENDANT: They're cutting my skin.

THE COURT: You were fighting the officers.

THE DEFENDANT: To keep the officers from --

THE COURT: Mr. Jennings, Mr. Jennings, the officers were under a court order to remove the handcuffs. You were thwarting my court order that I gave to them. So don't be blaming the officers for what they did. They were doing what I ordered them to do. And you, sir, were thwarting my order. So don't talk about them about breaking your arm. You were thwarting a court order. And I need to tell you, sir, I know Mr. LoFaro will tell you, if you continue to interrupt the Court, you will be removed, and you won't be here for your trial.

Mr. Centra, as far as the lab testimony that's going to come from Miss Wilson?

MR. CENTRA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I don't know if you and Mr.

LoFaro had had a chance to discuss whether we are going to stipulate to the contents or whether you want to put that on without a stipulation? It certainly doesn't matter to

- Trial procedure discussion -

me. I know you're only intending to call four witnesses, so.

MR. CENTRA: I mean, Judge, it should be a pretty brief direct examination.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I don't see any issue there.

(Pause for jurors entering the courtroom from 11:09 until 11:18 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, folks. You who can't see me behind the TV, you're probably better off. My name is Steve Dougherty. I am one of our County Court judges. And we are here today to proceed with the trial of the People of the State of New York versus Tony Jennings.

Folks, I am going to start out by saying, and I know I speak for the attorneys, Mr. Jennings, our court staff, I just want to thank all of you for being here. I know it's not a great experience to come down and be herded around and everything that's happened. But we can't proceed with one of our basic constitutional rights, that is, trial by jury, without you.

We are going to end today with 14 of you being chosen, and the rest of you being excused for 10 years.

But without the 70 of you being here, we can't do what we are about to do.

And one of the judges before me used to say that

.8

# - Jury selection - 2/6/17 -

trial by jury is the second most important duty of citizenship, second only to military service at the time of war. I couldn't agree with that more. Because our constitution is based on the premise of trial by jury. And here you are participating.

I know that this is an inconvenience probably for every one of you. And we will talk about those questions. We will talk about different things. But what I am going to say to you is, we need you. All right. We need you to have this trial. We need you to be able to do this process. So, I am going to ask you to set aside, if you can, set things aside, we are, today is Monday. I fully expect this case to be done by no later than Thursday, all right. Unless something catastrophic happens, which I don't expect. We will done be done by Thursday at the latest. I am going to say Friday at the outside, just to be safe. But it's going to be over this week, most likely Wednesday or Thursday. Okay. So with that in mind, again, I thank all of you.

There are certain things that I have to read to you that I am required to read. I am going to do that now. But I did want to thank you on behalf of counsel and Mr. Jennings. I am going to explain to you the various stages of a trial, what you may expect to see and hear during the trial, so that you may better understand what's taking

#### - Jury selection - 2/6/17 -

place. I am going to remind you of some of the basic principles of law that apply to this, and to all criminal trials in New York State. At the end of the case, I will remind you again of those principles. I am going to define the crimes charged, explain the law that you must follow, and list for you the elements that the People must prove beyond a reasonable doubt.

And folks, remember, during jury selection, I will explain that the elements of a charged crime is a term that refers to the various parts of our laws, written definitions of a crime, and in addition to the identification of the person who committed that crime.

At some point, folks, we will talk to each one of you, I will bring you up the lectern and ask you five questions. Those questions are listed on the lectern. I am going to read those to you, out loud, and we will do that. And after we get through those, we will have you take a seat.

As I said, the name of the case here is the People of the State of New York against Tony Jennings. The words "People of the State of New York" in that title mean the government of New York State. The fact that this action is brought in the name of the People, or that the evidence is presented by a public official does not in any way indicate that the public wants a specific verdict. The

# - Jury selection - 2/6/17 -

People are served by whatever verdict is justified by the evidence. The People here are represented by the District Attorney of Onondaga County, William Fitzpatrick. He is represented today by assistant district attorney Joseph Centra.

MR. CENTRA: Good morning, everyone.

THE COURT: The defendant in this case is Tony Jennings, who is sitting here to my left.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, everybody.

THE COURT: And the defendant is represented by his attorney, Mr. John LoFaro.

MR. LOFARO: Good morning.

THE COURT: The defendant in this case is charged with two crimes: That would be criminal sale of a controlled substance in the third degree; and criminal sale of -- I am sorry, criminal possession of a controlled substance in the fifth degree. At the end of the trial, I will give you a detailed instruction on the crimes charged.

I have given you this brief description of the charge only for the purpose of allowing you to consider whether there is anything about the nature of the charge that would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror. You're not to use the definition or the charge itself for any other purpose other than to see if you could be fair and impartial.

# - Jury selection - 2/6/17 -

The case comes to us by way of what's called an indictment. An indictment is a document. It's a piece of paper that contains an accusation. Neither the indictment itself nor the fact that an indictment has been filed constitutes evidence. The indictment has been filed against the defendant. And the defendant has answered that he is not guilty of the accusation. This trial is to be conducted for you to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

As I said, the jury is composed of 12 people plus we hope to have two alternate jurors. The first person called who is sworn as a juror will serve as our jury foreperson.

The jury's responsibility is to evaluate fairly the testimony and other evidence presented at the trial, to apply the law to the facts, and to decide whether the People have proven the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

In your deliberations, you may not consider or speculate about matters related to sentence or punishment. If there is a verdict of guilty, it is solely my responsibility to impose an appropriate sentence.

My role at trial, ladies and gentlemen, the role of any judge is to help assure a fair and orderly trial in accordance with our law. I do that by presiding over the

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary

trial, deciding questions of law that arise between and among the parties, and explaining to you the jury, as I am now, what the law is that the jury must accept and follow.

Thus, we are both judges in this case. It's important to realize that we judge different things. You, the jury, judge the facts of the case in order to reach a verdict of guilty or not guilty. And I judge the law, meaning I decide the questions of law and instruct you, the jury, on the law. It is not my responsibility to judge the facts here; that is yours. You and you alone, are the judges of the facts. You and you alone are responsible for deciding whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

So nothing I say or how I say it, and no ruling I make on the law is intended to be nor should it be considered by you as an expression of any opinion on the facts of the case, or of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

When you judge the facts, you are to consider only the evidence. The evidence in a case includes the testimony of the witnesses from the witness stand, and any exhibits which are received in evidence.

During the trial, you're going to hear me and perhaps the lawyers use the term: The elements of a crime. Let me explain the meaning of that term. What constitutes a crime is defined by the written law of New York State.

2.3

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

Each written definition normally contains several parts, including, generally: The specification of the conduct prohibited; the state of mind which the conduct must be performed; and in some instances, the result of the conduct. Those parts of the written definition of a charged crime plus the identification of a person as the one who committed the crime charged are what we mean by the term elements of the crime charged.

We now turn to the fundamental principles of law which apply in all criminal trials: The presumption of innocence, the burden of proof, and the requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Throughout these proceedings the defendant is presumed to be innocent. As a result, you must find the defendant not guilty unless on the evidence presented at this trial you conclude that the People have proven the defendant guilty are beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant is not required to prove that he is not guilty. In fact, the defendant is not required to prove or disprove anything. To the contrary, the People have the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That means, before you can find the defendant guilty of a crime, the People must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime, including that the defendant is the person who committed the crime. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

burden of proof never shifts from the People to the defendant. If the People fail to satisfy their burden of proof, you must find the defendant not guilty. If the People satisfy their burden of proof, you must find the defendant guilty.

What does the law mean when it requires proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- the term we hear all the The question is, what does the law mean? The law time. uses the term proof beyond a reasonable doubt to tell you how convincing the evidence of guilt must be to permit a verdict of guilty. The law recognizes that in dealing with human affairs there are very few things in this world that we know with absolute certainty. Therefore, the law does not require the People to prove a defendant guilty beyond all possible doubt. On the other hand, it's not sufficient to prove that the defendant is probably guilty. criminal case, the proof of quilt must be stronger than It must be beyond a reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is an honest doubt of the defendant's guilt for which a reason exists based on the nature and quality of the It's an actual doubt, not an imaginary doubt. It is a doubt that a reasonable person acting in a manner of this importance would be likely to entertain because of the evidence that was presented or because of the lack of convincing evidence. Proof of guilt beyond a reasonable

1.7

2.0

2.2

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

doubt is proof that leaves you so firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt that you have no reasonable doubt of the existence of any element of the crime, or of the defendant's identity as the person who committed the crime.

As the judges of the facts, you and you alone determine the truthfulness and accuracy of the testimony of each witness. You must decide whether a witness told the truth and was accurate, or instead testified falsely or was mistaken. You must also decide what importance to give to the testimony you accept as truthful and accurate.

It is the quality of the testimony that is controlling, not the number of witnesses who testify. And I will give you more instruction on credibility of witnesses at the end of the trial.

In this case, I expect you will hear the testimony of police officers. The testimony of a witness should not be believed solely and simply because a witness is a police officer. And at the same time, a witness' testimony should not be disbelieved solely and simply because a witness is a police officer. You must evaluate a police officer's testimony the same way you would evaluate the testimony of any other witness.

Your verdict, ladies and gentlemen, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. That is, each and every juror must agree to -- you must render a verdict

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

separately, and specifically upon each charge submitted to you.

Since 12 people seldom agree on anything immediately, to reach a unanimous verdict, you must deliberate with the other jurors. That means, you should discuss the evidence and consult with each other. to each other. Give each other's views careful consideration and reason together when considering the evidence. And when you do deliberate, you should do so with a view towards reaching an agreement, if that can be done without surrendering individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after a fair and impartial consideration of the evidence with the other jurors. You should not surrender an honest view of the evidence simply because you want the trial to end, or you are outvoted. At the same time, you should not hesitate to re-examine your views and change your mind if you become convinced that your position was not correct.

There are admonitions that I am going to be giving you so many times, you will be able to repeat them back to me. But this is the first time. Our law requires jurors to follow certain instructions in order to help assure a just and fair trial. Let me give those to you: You are not allowed to converse either among yourselves or with anyone else about anything related to this case.

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

You may tell your loved ones, the people you work with, people you live with, that you are in a trial, you're in a criminal trial and that you have to be in court. But you may not talk with them or anyone else about anything related to this case. We want you folks to form your own opinion on this case from the evidence, from the witness stand, or exhibits.

Do not, at any time during the trial, request, accept, agree to accept, or discuss with any person the receipt or acceptance of any payment or benefit in return for supplying any information concerning the trial. You must promptly report to me any incident within your knowledge involving any attempt by any person to improperly influence you, or any member of the jury. You can report it directly to me or to one of my court officers.

Do not visit or view the premises or place where the charged crime was allegedly committed. And we will hear about that during the trial. You must not visit those places or any other premises or places involved in this case. And something that's come up recently, more recently in the last 10 years or so, we are not going to do any Internet searches, any search at all on any computers about the facts of this case. No Internet, Google search, none of that, all right? Any proof in this case is going to come within this courtroom.

1.3

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

Do not read, view or listen to any accounts or discussions of the case reported in the media. I don't believe there will be any. But if there is, you just make sure that if you see something, you put it aside. If you see something on the television or the radio, you turn that off. Do not attempt to research any fact, issue or law related to the case, whether by discussion with others by research in a library or on the Internet or any other means or source.

You may not provide any information about the case to anyone by any means whatsoever.

And folks, just by way of explanation, I know common sense, it's a reason we have these rules, and common sense will tell you why, but our law does not permit jurors to converse with anyone else about the case, or permit anyone to talk to them about the case. Because only the jurors are authorized to render a verdict. Only you have been found to be fair. And only you have promised to be fair. No one else has been so qualified.

And as far as the attorneys are concerned, I have admonished the attorneys not to talk to you during the trial. I think it's a good morning, of course. Good afternoon. But outside this courtroom, if you see them, you could have -- a greeting is fine. But we can't have them talking to you. You understand why. We can't have

- Jury selection - Court - preliminary -

you talking to the attorneys. We can't have the attorneys talking to you. You understand that. Hese rules are designed to help guarantee a fair trial. And our law accordingly sets forth serious consequences if the rules are not followed. Nd again, I trust you understand and appreciate the importance of following these rules, in accordance with your oath and promise. I know that you will do so.

And now what I am going to do, folks, I am going to give you a little bit of an exercise. I am going to have you all stand up so I can swear you all in, all right? If you could switch your coats to your left hand, I will have you all raise your right hand for me.

(The Court swore in all the prospective jurors in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I do. All right. Sit right back down. Thank you.

Now folks, what we are going to do is I am going to ask my court clerk, Diane Helbig, she is going to call your name, your number. I am going to be over here at the podium with the attorneys, with Mr. Jennings and with Mr. Reagan, who is our court reporter. And you're going to be asked and I am going to ask them to you now, they are on the podium, the following five questions. And, if you say "yes" to any one of them, we will talk about it a little

#### - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -

more. If you have a "no" to all five, we will have you have a seat. And our court officer Chrissie Walsh will tell you where to sit.

Here are the five questions going to be asked:

Have you, a close family member, or close friend ever been

the victim of a crime? Victim of a crime.

- No. 2, Have you, any close family member, or any close friend, ever been charged with a crime, or the violations of driving while impaired, harassment or disorderly conduct? Charged with a crime.
- 3, Do you have any medical condition, physical limitation, or exceptional circumstance that you believe would prevent you from serving as a juror this week?
- 4, Have you heard anything or read anything about this particular case?
- 5, Is there any reason you cannot be fair to both sides in this case?

So now what we will do is I am going to let Mr.

Reagan get set up. We will get over there with the attorneys. And we will have Miss Helbig call out the names and we will all go forward from there.

(The following occurred at the podium, with the defendant present, his attorney Mr. LoFaro, and Mr. Centra.)

THE COURT: All right, Diane.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 322, Julie Robbins,

\_\_\_

ļ	Wain dina Danal T. Caunt			
	- Voir dire - Panel I - Court -			
1	testimony is to be given no more or less credibility than			
2	any other witness. Can you follow that?			
.3	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.			
4	THE COURT: Okay. And you said the second one			
5	you had a yes to?			
6	POTENTIAL JUROR: My brother-in-law has had three			
7	DWI's.			
8	THE COURT: Okay. And were they in Onondaga			
9	County?			
10	POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.			
11	THE COURT: Prosecuted by the District Attorney's			
12	office?			
13	POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.			
14	THE COURT: Does any of that not allow you to be			
15	fair and impartial in this case?			
16	POTENTIAL JUROR: No.			
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Centra, any questions for			
18	Miss Robbins?			
19	MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.			
20	MR. LOFARO: No, Judge.			
21	THE COURT: Okay. You're all set, ma'am. Follow			
22	Ms. Walsh.			
23	COURT ATTENDANT: Could you bring all your			
24	belongings with you as you come up, please?			
25	* * *			

```
35
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 282, Steven McCarthy,
1
2
       M-c-C-a-r-t-h-y.
                  THE COURT: Hi.
3
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: How are you?
4
                  THE COURT: "Steven," what's the most important
5
        question? The H or a V?
6
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: V.
                  THE COURT: Gee.
8
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Sorry.
9
10
                  THE COURT: How about the questions, any yes's to
        any of those?
11
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Just one. I have said, it'd
12
13
        make a financial burden for me to serve on this because.
14
                  THE COURT: What do you do? What?
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I work as a HazMat technician.
15
16
                  THE COURT: HazMat?
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
17
                  THE COURT: You get paid?
18
19
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't get paid if --
                  THE COURT: If you're working.
20
21
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. Otherwise, it's 40
        bucks a day.
22
                  THE COURT: Got you. Do you have a family,
23
24
        Steven?
                   POTENTIAL JUROR: I do have a wife, and she is on
25
```

```
36
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1
        Social Security.
2
                  THE COURT: And children at home?
3
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: One, he is -- he is not an
4
        adult.
5
                  THE COURT: He is on his own?
 6
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
 7
                  THE COURT: Let me ask you this, and I know,
8
        believe me, I know it could be a burden. If you were
 9
        chosen, to be a juror, can you give us your full attention?
10
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Oh, absolutely.
11
                  THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Centra, any questions?
12
                  MR. CENTRA: No.
13
                  MR. LOFARO: I have none, Your Honor.
14
                  THE COURT: I will let them talk about it more.
15
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay.
                                           Thanks.
16
17
                  THE CLERK:
                              Juror No. 224, Guy Donahoe,
18
        D-o-n-a-h-o-e.
19
                  THE COURT: G-u-y?
20
                  THE CLERK: Yes.
21
                  THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Donahoe?
22
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Good.
23
                  THE COURT: The five questions, Mr. Donahoe, do
        you have yes's to any of them here?
24
25
                   POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't have a yes to any of
```

```
37
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1
       them.
2
                  THE COURT: Go see Ms. Walsh. She will give you
3
        a seat.
                 Okay.
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 299, Daniel Russo,
5
6
        R-u-s-s-o.
7
                  THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Russo.
8
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning, Judge.
                  THE COURT: Any yes's to any of these?
 9
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: The only thing is I think I
10
        feel it more at night, I take a water pill and I have to go
11
12
        to the bathroom that often.
13
                  THE COURT: Okay. With regards to that, again, I
14
        have got the same.
15
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: You do?
                  THE COURT: But we do try to take breaks, regular
16
        breaks. You come, you can raise your hand and let us know.
17
        Anything else?
18
19
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No, that's it, Your Honor.
20
                  THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat.
                                                    Thanks, Mr.
21
        Russo.
                   POTENTIAL JUROR: Thanks.
22
23
                   THE CLERK: Juror No. 297, Terri Dewey,
24
25
         D-e-w-e-v.
```

38 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 THE COURT: Good morning, Miss Dewey. 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi. 3 THE COURT: How are you? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Good. Any yes's to any of the 6 questions? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 2. 8 THE COURT: No. 2? You know someone who has been 9 charged with a crime? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. THE COURT: Who was that? 11 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: My stepson. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was that? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was last year, 2016. 15 THE COURT: Onondaga County? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 17 THE COURT: And what was he charged with? 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know what the exact 19 charge is. It was for stealing nine thousand dollars worth 20 of insurance checks from his father and I. THE COURT: Okay. You guys were the victims? 21 2.2 POTENTIAL JUROR: THE COURT: You got 1 and 2? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: Is that still pending?

39 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 POTENTIAL JUROR: He's in court ordered rehab. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything 3 about that experience that would not allow you to be fair 4 and impartial in this case? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Um-um. 6 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Centra? 7 MR. CENTRA: You have any issues with the way the 8 law enforcement handled your investigation, with regard to 9 that? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Not the Court, but the police. 11 MR. CENTRA: All right. What issues did you have 12 with them? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: The police officer was trying 14 to counsel us, and sort of convince us not to press 15 charges. MR. CENTRA: Would you be able to be fair and 16 impartial if a couple officers that were testifying, would 17 18 you be able to fair and impartial and listen to that 19 testimony? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I think so. 21 THE COURT: What department was it, do you know? 2.2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Clay. 23 THE COURT: Clay? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: Cicero?

THE COURT: Cicero police department?

```
40
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.
1
2
                  THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro?
3
                  MR. LOFARO: No questions, Judge.
4
                  THE COURT: Okay, Miss Dewey, if you go over
5
        there, we will give you a seat. Thank you.
6
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: You're welcome.
7
8
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 240, Nestor Berge,
9
        B-e-r-g-e.
10
                  THE COURT: What was the name?
11
                  THE CLERK: Nestor, N-e-s-t-o-r.
12
                  THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Berge.
13
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning, sir.
14
                  THE COURT:
                             As far as the five questions go, sir?
15
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No to all of them.
16
                  THE COURT: Go right over there. Thank you.
17
18
                  THE CLERK: Juror 227, Avinder Dhamoon.
19
        A-v-i-n-d-e-r. Last name, D-h-a-m-o-o-n.
2.0
                  THE COURT: Good morning.
21
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning.
22
                  THE COURT: How are you?
                                     Thanks. How are you?
23
                  POTENTIAL JUROR:
24
                  THE COURT:
                              Good. Is it Dhamoon?
25
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
```

41 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 THE COURT: Ms. Dhamoon, any yes's to these five? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, but I like have a 3 question. Yes. 4 THE COURT: 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: I have small children. 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: They are home in the afternoon. 8 THE COURT: Yes, we break every day at four 9 o'clock. 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 11 THE COURT: If we need to go after four, any day, 12 we will let you know. 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Okay? The only day that we may do 15 that is the day of the deliberations, where we send the 16 jury out. And on those days, which we will let you know, 17 we could go as late as six o'clock. But that would be 18 letting you know a day beforehand. Is that okay? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: That's fine. I just have a --20 I am employed. 21 THE COURT: Oh, no. 22 POTENTIAL JUROR: I have three years, 3:00 to 23 I.D. charts for every Monday and Thursday. 24 THE COURT: You need to get them. 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: So.

42 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -THE COURT: Three, what was it? You have what? 1 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am, I am self-employed. 3 3:00 to 7:00, I have to teach kids every Monday and 4 Thursday. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I think --6 POTENTIAL JUROR: I could make arrangements. 7 THE COURT: Great. 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 11 COURT ATTENDANT: Everybody in this row, go out 12 and find a seat in the back, please. 13 THE COURT: There is one there if anybody wants 14 Thanks, folks. The courtroom is only so big. We it. 15 appreciate you. 16 COURT ATTENDANT: Scootch in, folks, that are in 17 the benches. 18 THE COURT: All right, Diane? 19 THE CLERK: Juror 270, Colin Corbett, 20 C-o-r-b-e-t-t. THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Corbett, how are 21 22 you? POTENTIAL JUROR: Well. How are you? 23 24 THE COURT: Good, thank you. How about the five 25 questions?

43 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes to No. 2. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about that one 2 3 first. You know somebody that has been charged with a crime? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: My brother. 5 THE COURT: What was he charged with? 6 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: I guess theft. THE COURT: How long ago? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Just a couple months. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Is that here in Syracuse, 10 Onondaga County? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 12 THE COURT: All right. So that charge is open 13 14 right now? POTENTIAL JUROR: He is still incarcerated right 15 16 now. THE COURT: Okay. And how close in years are you 17 and your brother? 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: Five. 19 THE COURT: All right. Do you know what 20 department charged him, the police department? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 22 THE COURT: You don't know? Is there anything 23 about that, that's pending right now, and the D.A.'s office 24 may be prosecuting it, is there anything about that 25

45 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 THE COURT: Great. Good. Thank you. 2 3 THE CLERK: Juror No. 333, Michael Herrera, 4 H-e-r-r-e-r-a. 5 THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Herrera. 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning. 7 THE COURT: With regards to the five questions, 8 Mike, do you have any yes's? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, no, no. I haven't read 10 anything about the case. The only thing is I might have an 11 acquaintance with the attorney, roughly, the defense 12 attorney. 13 THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: We went to the same elementary 15 school. 16 MR. LOFARO: Our daughters went to the same 17 school. We don't know each other well. 18 THE COURT: Say hello and how are you? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Does Mr. Centra need to be concerned 21 about that? 22 POTENTIAL JUROR: The only other thing, I have a 23 lot of friends that are on the police force. 24 THE COURT: Sure. 2.5 POTENTIAL JUROR: I play on the hockey team.

do know, I am not sure if they might be some of the people.

THE COURT: We will be reading their names out, and if you do know any of them, you will let the attorneys know. But as far as knowing Mr. LoFaro, or the fact that you may know police officers, is there anything about that that would not allow you to be fair and impartial in this case?

POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions, Mr. Centra?

MR. CENTRA: Nothing.

MR. LOFARO: No.

THE COURT: Thanks, sir. I appreciate you letting us know.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 287, Chris Jackson, J-a-c-k-s-o-n. Judge, I don't think I told you, they do have to go back downstairs if they are not chosen here.

THE COURT: They need to go back down.

Hi, Mr. Jackson.

POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi.

THE COURT: With regards to the five questions, Mr. Jackson, any yes's?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead. Which ones?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 1.

47 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -THE COURT: All right. Let's start with that. 1 2 You know somebody that is the victim of a crime? POTENTIAL JUROR: 3 Yes. 4 THE COURT: What was that? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Myself. 6 THE COURT: Okay. What was it? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: In my business. 8 THE COURT: A-hum. 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am Struckton [ph] Market. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: I called the police three or 12 four times a week. 13 THE COURT: You have people coming, trying not to 14 pay for things? 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Which particular types? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right now, Shop City Plaza. went to the Strague [ph] plaza for two years. 18 19 THE COURT: With regards to that, obviously, the 20 charges here have nothing to do with theft. 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, but they stole --22 THE COURT: Sure. Can you be fair and Sure. 23 impartial, just listen to the proof in this case? POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know. 24 Okay. Listen, now is the time to, 25 THE COURT:

49 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -MR. LOFARO: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, what I will do, I will excuse you. You do have to go back down to the 3 room, check in with them. Does he need to take that with 4 5 him? Take that with you. And we will send you downstairs, 6 sir. Thanks very much. 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 8 THE COURT: I appreciate it. 9 1.0 THE CLERK: Juror No. 298, Sean Foote, F-o-o-t-e. 11 THE COURT: Sean is S-e-a-n? Good morning, Mr. 12 Foote. 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning. 14 THE COURT: With regards to the five questions I 15 have asked, would you have any yes's to any of them? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Go over there and have a seat, 18 please. Thank you. 19 20 THE CLERK: Juror No. 256, Robert Moore, 21 M-o-o-r-e. 22 THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Moore? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Great. 24 THE COURT: Any yes's to our five questions, Mr. 25 Moore?

	- Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1	D-e-r-y-k-e.
2	THE COURT: Alyssa is A-l-y?
3	THE CLERK: A-l-y-s-s-a.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Is it Deryke?
5	POTENTIAL JUROR: Deryke, yes.
6	THE COURT: How are you?
7	POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you?
8	THE COURT: Good. With regards to our five
9	questions, Miss Deryke, any yes's to those?
10	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes to the first one.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk that. You know
12	someone who was the victim of a crime?
13	POTENTIAL JUROR: Myself.
14	THE COURT: Okay. What type of crime?
15	POTENTIAL JUROR: Assault.
16	THE COURT: Was someone arrested?
17	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Was that in Onondaga County?
19	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
21	POTENTIAL JUROR: 10 or 11 years ago.
22	THE COURT: These things are not, you know, they
23	are not easy to talk about, that's why we do it up here.
24	Were you satisfied with the way the case was handled?
25	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And again, the question I ask everybody is: Based on that, are you still able to tell us you could be fair and impartial here?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Any others?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Centra, questions?

MR. CENTRA: With regards to the crime that you were charged in, was there anything later that developed in the way that you felt was negatively handled by law enforcement, the police officers?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

MR. CENTRA: And with regards to the crime that you were the victim of, did law enforcement get involved with that?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: And were you satisfied with the way they handled that?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Just briefly. The reckless driving, was that the only charge during the stop? Reckless driving itself?

POTENTIAL JUROR: There was other charges but I wasn't actually, like it didn't stick.

THE COURT: They were dismissed, eventually?

56 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 V-e-a-t-o-r. 2 THE COURT: Good morning, Miss Veator. 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi. 4 THE COURT: How are you? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Fine. Thanks. 6 THE COURT: The five questions, do you have any 7 yes's on them? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: The first one. My house was 11 broken into twice. My car was stolen, the second time. 12 And my brother is, No. 2, my brother was charged in 13 Savannah, Georgia, with count of possession of marijuana. And he jumped bail, and went, he was on the run for 12 14 15 years. 16 THE COURT: Whew. 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: And the FBI was at my parent's 18 house and our house, you know. 19 THE COURT: A-hum. 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Then they finally caught him 21 in California. He was also dealing marijuana there. 22 was charged with two counts, felony counts of marijuana 23 possession, and interstate flight to avoid prosecution. 24 spent five years in Federal prison.

THE COURT: Could I ask you, with regards to the

58 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 2 THE CLERK: Juror No. 341 Michael Putnam, 3 P-u-t-n-a-m. THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Putnam? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good, how are you doing, Your 5 Honor? 6 7 THE COURT: Good. Five questions, Mr. Putnam, do you have any yes's to those? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, No. 1. My grandfather's 9 shed was broke into years ago, and he had stolen out of it. 10 THE COURT: Was that here in Onondaga County? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. That was in Tompkins 12 13 County. THE COURT: Anybody charged? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know they ever got him. 15 THE COURT: Was there anything about that that 16 would not allow you to be fair and impartial here? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. What, anything else? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: My brother-in-law has been 20 21 charged with No. 2. THE COURT: Okay. What was he charged with, do 2.2 you recall? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: I think he got DWI some time. 24 THE COURT: Obviously, these weren't things that

```
59
                    - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1
       you were paying real close attention to?
2
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. It was prior to '06,
3
        those.
                  THE COURT: Could you be still be fair and
4
5
        impartial knowing that that happened?
6
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
7
                  THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
8
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
9
                  THE COURT: Mr. Centra, any questions?
10
                  MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.
                  THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro, any questions?
11
12
                  MR. LOFARO: None, Judge.
13
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Great, Mr. Putnam. She will
14
        show you where to sit. Thank you, sir.
15
16
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 259, Nicholas Episcopo,
17
        E-p-i-s-c-o-p-o.
18
                  THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Episcopo, how are
19
        you?
20
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Good morning. I am okay.
21
                  THE COURT: What about our five questions, sir,
22
        any yes's to these?
23
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: (Unintelligible.)
24
                  THE COURT: He just said he wanted to talk
25
        privately about. That's what, actually, that's what we are
```

60 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 doing here. We are doing it privately here, with just us. 2 It has to be on the record so he has to hear. When you're 3 10 years old, you were molested as a Boy Scout? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 5 THE COURT: Can you get over that and be fair and 6 impartial in this case? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: I think so. I just have a --8 THE COURT: A lot of anxiety because of it? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. It was, I have been 10 treated. But I have medications. 11 THE COURT: You have been treated over the years 12 because of that. How are you doing now? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Better. Better. THE COURT: Okay. What we have here, Nicholas, 14 15 is an allegation of drug sale and possession. 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okav. 17 THE COURT: What happened to you is something you're going to unfortunately be with for the rest of the 18 19 your life. 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 21 THE COURT: Can you promise Mr. Jennings and promise the District Attorney's office that you will only 22 23 judge this case on what happened to you in the courtroom? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR:

THE COURT:

Okay. Anything else about any of

61 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 these? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: I have AHD. It doesn't mean 3 anything, right? 4 THE COURT: Attention deficit? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I have, if I go off my 6 medicine recently, I have pressure problems. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And you said you do take 8 medication. And sometimes you have been off of it for 9 other reasons? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, I have been getting off 11 it. 12 THE COURT: You're weaning it? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Right. So what I will 14 do, check my --15 THE COURT: You're going to the doctor to make 16 sure everything is okay? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 18 THE COURT: Can you still, with that condition, 19 can you give us your full attention here? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: I mean I can try, but. 21 THE COURT: Okay. I got you. Hold up one 22 second. 23 (Conference at podium.) 24 MR. LOFARO: I think we could let him go. 25 THE COURT: We are going to let Nicholas go

1 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that 2 wouldn't allow you to be fair and impartial here? 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 4 THE COURT: No? Okay. 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: And I don't know, I am just 6 going over the few. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Are you feeling okay? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, you know, you're not as 9 strong as. 10 THE COURT: We are not, we are not going to push this. As a matter of fact, all we are going to do is pick 11 12 a jury. Can you promise us that you give us your full 13 attention if you are chosen? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions for Miss 16 Robinson? MR. CENTRA: With regards to the crime that your 17 mother was a victim of, was she --18 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Mother-in-law. 20 THE COURT: Mother-in-law. POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 21 22 MR. CENTRA: No one was charged?

23 POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

24

25

MR. CENTRA: Do you have any negative feelings towards law enforcement based on that?

	- Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
,	MILE COURT CONTRACTOR
1	THE COURT: Orange County?
2	POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.
3	THE COURT: Was it, do you know if anyone was
4	charged?
5	POTENTIAL JUROR: No. No one was charged.
6	THE COURT: Is there any reason you can't be fair
7	and impartial in this case, because of that?
8	POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What else do you have for us,
10	ma'am?
11	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Who do you know that has been charged
13	with a crime?
14	POTENTIAL JUROR: Brothers.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Locally, locally?
16	POTENTIAL JUROR: No. Orange County.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And again, with regards to
18	those cases, would those affect you being fair and
19	impartial here?
20	POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, ma'am?
22	POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 3, no. No. 4, no. No. 5,
23	no.
24	
24	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat for us.

i	
	- Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1	THE COURT: Here now?
2	POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you guys argue a lot?
4	POTENTIAL JUROR: Not really. But sometimes, any
5	other.
6	THE COURT: We have had people that work
7	together. We have had siblings, it happens.
8	POTENTIAL JUROR: I wanted to let you know.
9	THE COURT: Any others questions?
10	MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.
11	MR. LOFARO: No, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Crandell, okay, Mr. Crandell,
13	you're going to have the first seat right here on the left.
14	Thank you.
15	* * *
16	THE CLERK: Juror No. 303, Ivan I am not even
17	going to try. I am just going to spell it. I don't want
18	to butcher it: O-n-u-f-r-i-y-c-h-u-k.
19	THE COURT: How about, I am going to try:
20	Onufriychuk?
21	POTENTIAL JUROR: Onufriychuk.
22	THE COURT: How are you, sir?
23	POTENTIAL JUROR: I am fine.
24	THE COURT: How about these five questions, Mr.
25	Onufriychuk, any yes's to any of them? You have been the

```
68
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
1
        victim?
2
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No. But I have my broken
3
        English.
 4
                  THE COURT: Yes? How is it? How is English?
 5
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I think it's partial.
 6
                  THE COURT: How long?
 7
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I have been -- '79. 2004, I
 8
        have been here.
 9
                  THE COURT: Okay. What's your native language?
10
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Ukraine.
11
                  THE COURT: Ukraine? Beautiful.
12
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: But my --
13
                  THE COURT: I am sorry.
14
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Mike Hanuszczak. But you're
15
        the judge. So, it's a hard time.
16
                  THE COURT: -- try to tell you something else.
17
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
18
                  THE COURT: If we were moving right along and
        taking testimony, and questions and answers, would it be
19
20
        hard to keep up with the language, do you think?
21
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: At some point.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. Gentlemen?
23
                  MR. LOFARO: Consent to let him go.
24
                  MR. CENTRA: Consent, Judge.
25
                  THE COURT: Okay. We are going to let you go.
```

69 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -We are going to excuse you. You get your paperwork and go 1 back down to the juryroom, okay? You're going to be all 2 3 set. POTENTIAL JUROR: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 6 THE CLERK: Juror No. 317, Dustin Breen, 7 8 B-r-e-e-n. THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Breen? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Great? 10 11 THE COURT: What do you say to our five 12 questions? POTENTIAL JUROR: Let me see. I have a question 13 14 on this one. THE COURT: You know someone who has been the 15 16 victim of a crime? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Charged. THE COURT: Charged? That's okay. Who do you 18 know? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Father. D.U.I. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: And then I have a cousin with 22 a, I don't know if it was heroin or possession or 23 distribution, something. 24 THE COURT: You didn't know much about that? 25

70 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: I didn't know too much about 1 But it was my cousin. 2 3 THE COURT: The one with your dad, how long ago 4 was that? POTENTIAL JUROR: 15, 20 years. 5 THE COURT: Onondaga County? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 7 8 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about either of one 9 those that wouldn't allow you to be fair and impartial 10 here? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably not. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else as far as our 12 13 questions? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 1.5 THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Centra? 16 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Anything, Mr. LoFaro? 18 MR. LOFARO: With relation to your cousin, 19 amicable? You guys get along? 2.0 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, yes. 21 MR. LOFARO: Nothing further, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Okay. You guys have a seat right here after this, Mr. Crandell, okay? 23 24 25 THE CLERK: Juror No. 231, Dennis Cole, C-o-l-e.

71 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Cole? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. Nervous. THE COURT: Don't be. Don't be. Make it easy 3 These five questions, victim of a crime, charged 4 for you. 5 with a crime? POTENTIAL JUROR: One at a time? 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Victim? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Our house was broken 11 into. 12 THE COURT: A-hum. 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: And it was a long time ago. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: And it was, I can't remember 16 the exact year. I had some kids next door vandalized my 17 father's car. Spray painted, and stuff like that. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody charged in either of 19 those incidents with the kids? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know about the robbery. 21 That was --22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, they caught the kids. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: And they had to pay

exactly when that was. I can't ask her. She is dead.

THE COURT: Sorry about that. Anything about those that would not allow you to be fair and impartial here, Mr. Cole?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably not.

THE COURT: Okay.

POTENTIAL JUROR: I am trying to --

THE COURT: What else? Anything else?

POTENTIAL JUROR: I am trying to think.

THE COURT: Sure. Take your time.

POTENTIAL JUROR: I really don't think, I can't think of anything. My sister got into a lot of stuff.

THE COURT: Well, the thing is here, Mr. Cole, what I say to all the jurors is the only proof we are going to hear in this case is going to come from the witness stand and from any exhibits. Can you limit your consideration to the facts of the case just to?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Very, very, very anti-drug.

THE COURT: Okay.

POTENTIAL JUROR: I can tell you right off the

bat.

THE COURT: That's fine.

POTENTIAL JUROR: One of them is Centra.

THE COURT: This guy?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Are you related to John Centra?

22 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

75 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 judge. 2 THE COURT: We still don't think that. 3 MR. LOFARO: Love him to death. One of my 4 favorite people in the world. 5 MR. CENTRA: Judge, I mean --6 THE COURT: It's up to you. MR. CENTRA: I mean, he said he could be fair and 7 impartial. 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: I think so. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Cole, why don't you have a seat 11 12 over here. And we will let the lawyers ask you some 13 questions, okay? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: Where? 15 THE COURT: Right over here next to this 16 gentleman, Mr. Breen. 17 THE CLERK: Juror No. 288, Roseann Molini, 18 M-o-l-i-n-i. 19 THE COURT: I am sorry. Spell the last name? 20 THE CLERK: M-o-l-i-n-i. 21 22 THE COURT: Hi, Miss Molini. POTENTIAL JUROR: Hello. 23 THE COURT: How are you? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 25

76 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -THE COURT: Good. How about our five questions. 1 2 Do you have any yes's to those? 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: I do. 4 THE COURT: Have you ever had a car broken into? 5 Anything taken from your house? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 7 THE COURT: Lucky. A seat right over here next to this gentleman, okay? Thanks, Miss Molini. 8 9 10 THE CLERK: Juror No. 350, Alex Nagy, N-a-g-y. 11 THE COURT: Is it N? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Excuse me? 1.3 THE COURT: Nagy? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. That's fine. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Nagy, how are you? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Fine. 17 THE COURT: Any of the five questions, any 18 questions to any of those? Victim of a crime? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Somebody across the street 20 pled to or plea bargained with rape. 21 THE COURT: A neighbor? 22 POTENTIAL JUROR: That neighbor. 23 THE COURT: How long ago was that? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: Two years. 25 THE COURT: Anything about that that wouldn't

```
78
                   - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -
                  MR. LOFARO: Nothing, Judge.
2
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nagy, right over here next to
3
       this young lady. Thank you.
4
5
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 251, Sandra Case, C-a-s-e.
                  THE COURT: Sandra Case. Good afternoon, Miss
6
7
        case.
8
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi.
9
                  THE COURT: I have the questions right here also,
10
        ma'am.
               Any yes's to any of our questions?
11
        (Pause.)
12
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
13
                  THE COURT: Okay. If you have a seat right here?
14
        Thank you.
15
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay.
16
17
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 329, Pasqualina Lazore,
18
        L-a-z-o-r-e. First name is P-a-s-q-u-a-l-i-n-a.
19
                  THE COURT: Miss Lazore?
20
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi.
21
                  THE COURT: How are you?
22
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I am good, thanks. How are
23
        you?
24
                  THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
25
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Somebody, I do have -- I have a
```

79 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court brother that was charged with similar charges in this case. 1 2 THE COURT: Locally? 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Locally and in Onondaga 4 County. 5 THE COURT: How long ago? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: Two or three years ago. 7 THE COURT: Have they been resolved? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: They have, yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you feel that this is probably not 10 the case for you? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: I have been mulling over this. 12 I think it has been very traumatic for us. 13 THE COURT: Of course. And I want, this is the 14 time to tell us. 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: This is why we do it here. 17 why we appreciate you telling us. 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Jennings is here. He has certain 20 charges. He is presumed to be innocent. Mr. Centra is 21 here. He is trying to prosecute the case. And we want 22 jurors that feel comfortable sitting on a particular case. And I know this happened with your brother, who was 23 24 probably prosecuted by the D.A.'s office here? 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.

80 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 THE COURT: Would you say this is not the case or do you think you could be fair in this one? 2 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't want to say I can't be fair because I know it's not right. 4 5 THE COURT: No, it's not. 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think this is -- I 7 think, emotionally, it would be. 8 THE COURT: It's too raw right now? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Consent, gentlemen? 10 11 MR. LOFARO: That's fine. 12 MR. CENTRA: Yes. 13 THE COURT: We are going to have you get your 14 paperwork. Go back downstairs. Thank you, ma'am. 15 appreciate it. 16 17 THE CLERK: Juror No. 306, Mylene Hayes, 18 H-a-y-e-s. First name is M-y-l-e-n-e. 19 THE COURT: Mylene Hayes. How are you? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. THE COURT: Five questions, Ms. Hayes, any yes's 21 22 to these? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: One close family member was assaulted. 24 25 THE COURT: Was assaulted?

81 Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 POTENTIAL JUROR: But it has been a long time, 2 over thirty years ago. 3 THE COURT: Locally? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Was there anything about that today 6 that would not allow you to be fair and impartial? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was --8 THE COURT: It was the defendant? She said the defendant was a black gentleman. That was thirty years 9 Mr. Jennings is obviously African American. Is there 10 anything about that that would not allow you to be fair 11 12 here? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 14 Okay. Anything else with regards to THE COURT: 15 these questions? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, they are all no's. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat here. 18 19 (Back in open court:) 20 THE COURT: Folks, I have a series of just six 21 questions. So, let me ask my six questions. Then we will 22 break for lunch. And we will bring you all back. 23 will have the attorneys ask their questions next.

And when I ask my questions, if your answer is yes, just

raise your hand and I will go through each one of you and

24

#### - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -

we will get through this. It shouldn't take very long.

Do any of you know the defendant Mr. Jennings, Mr. LoFaro, or Mr. Centra himself? Do any of you know any of these three gentlemen? Yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 9: Mr. LoFaro.

THE COURT: You know Mr. LoFaro because your children went to school together. We talked about that up here, correct?

JUROR NO. 9: Correct.

THE COURT: Anybody else? Thank you, sir. Do any of you know the District Attorney, Mr. Fitzpatrick, or anyone in the District Attorney's office? Not in the back? Just you folks? Thank you.

JUROR NO. 15: I think Shaun Chase, if he is still with the A.D.A.

THE COURT: You know Shaun Chase. He is an assistant D.A. Is there anything about that relationship, sir, that's Mr. Crandell, that would not allow you to be fair here.

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Anybody else know anybody from the D.A.'s office? Great.

Do any of you have any close friend or relative that works in law enforcement? We will start with the back row. So we go put them down right now. Yes, ma'am?

83 - Voir dire - Panel I - Court -1 JUROR No. 5: My boss is a judge in the town of 2 Clay. 3 THE COURT: Which one? 4 JUROR No. 5: Brian Lauri. 5 THE COURT: I am sorry for you. Mr. Lauri, he used to work for me. But don't remind him, okay? Anything 6 7 about that relationship that would not allow you to be fair 8 and impartial here? 9 JUROR No. 5: No. 10 THE COURT: Great. Thank you, ma'am. 11 JUROR NO. 7: My niece is a lawyer. currently in Philadelphia, doing clerkship. But she worked 12 13 for Bond, Schoeneck & King. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And anything about that 15 relationship that would not allow you to be fair here? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 17 THE COURT: And the middle row, could you raise 18 your hands again? Yes, at the end? 19 JUROR NO. 8: Cousin is a state trooper. 20 THE COURT: Is it locally? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And I will give you the name of the police officers that are potentially, they are 23 24 Syracuse police officers. Anything about the fact that he is with the troopers, that would not allow you be to be 25

fair and impartial here?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Folks, you're all going to hear me say the same thing. The ultimate question is, knowing what you know, or what happened to you in your life, can you still be fair and impartial? Who else in the second row? Law enforcement, yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 9: Some Syracuse police officers, when I was --

THE COURT: Just so I may: Potential officers are Officer Decker, Ettinger, Officer Proud, and Chief Fowler. Do you know any of those?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Officer Proud.

THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that would not allow you to be fair and impartial here?

JUROR NO. 9: No.

THE COURT: How about, yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 12: My brother-in-law works at the County correctional facility.

THE COURT: Up at Jamesville?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Right over here, next door.

THE COURT: Next door? The Justice Center?

JUROR NO. 12: Justice Center. I am sorry.

THE COURT: He is with the Sheriff's Department?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Correct. And I am in the fire

less so we can know now, folks. I appreciate your telling me. So you could still be fair and impartial?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: How about the last two. Did you have your hands raised? Okay, thank you.

Let me read the entire witness list. What I did was I asked the People to give me the outside list of witnesses that we may hear from. I don't expect to hear from all these people. But I am going to read you these names. If you know any of them, if you have haven't already told us, you could let us know: Officer Jeremy Decker. Officer Darrin Ettinger. Sergeant David Proud. And Chief Frank Fowler for the Syracuse Police Department.

Jennifer Wilson. Willie Jones. Howard Davis.

David Chaplain. Hatisha Holmes. Mallory Livingston. Do
any of you folks know any of those individuals? A few of
you? No? Okay. Thank you.

And folks, my last question to you before we break, can all 21 of you promise me that you will follow each instruction that I give to you? Yes? All right.

Let me give you the admonition that I am going to give to all of you. We haven't heard a speck of evidence. We haven't heard a witness. We haven't heard anything. Don't talk about this case to each other. Don't allow anyone to talk about this to you. Promptly report to me or

one of our court officers if anybody does try to talk to you about the case. And there is not going to be anything now to read, or any of those things.

So we are going to break. I have, it's 22 minutes after 12:00. If we could be back at 1:30. If we could be back here at 1:30, we will pick right up with the questions of counsel.

We will get going with the rest of the jury selection. And enjoy your lunch. We will see you at 1:30. Okay, thank you very much, everybody.

You 21, remember your seats. Remember your seats. Remember who you're next to.

(Recessed for lunch at 12:23 p.m.)

#### AFTERNOON SESSION - 2/6/17

(Jury voir dire of Panel I continued Monday afternoon, February 6, 2017, at 1:42 p.m.)

THE COURT: Thanks, everybody. Have a seat. We are here with counsel for both sides, and with the defendant, and the members of the jury. Thank you folks for your promptness. We greatly appreciate that. And I am done with my questions. And I will turn to Mr. Centra. Are you ready to go?

MR. CENTRA: I am. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. I should have said before

#### - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -

you go, Joe, I will say it though, if we get close to the time limit, I will give you a signal.

MR. CENTRA: All right. Thank you.

(Voir Dire Examination of Panel I by Mr. Centra:)

MR. CENTRA: How is everybody doing today?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Good.

MR. CENTRA: You ready? Watched the Super Bowl last night? I thought it would be a blow-up but it was a pretty good game at the end. All right.

As the Judge told you my name is Joe Centra. I am here representing the People of the State of New York. I am charged with prosecuting this case.

Now as the Judge touched on during your instructions, I have to prove my case beyond a reasonable doubt, and I welcome that burden. But I don't have to prove my case beyond all doubt. One of the examples here I use, if you were to wake up in the morning, you take a look outside, in Syracuse the snow is an example. It's pretty preferable. However, you take a look outside and you know you see a dusting of snow on the ground. You automatically assume that it's snowed while you're sleeping. That's what a reasonable conclusion would be. Although it's possible that a Hollywood crew came through, set up a scene for a winter storm, or something along those lines, that's possible. But it wouldn't be reasonable to come to that

## - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -

conclusion. You know, do you agree with me on that?

That's kind of the difference I am having. You looked -but the Judge will instruct you on the law. I am just
going to ask you to listen to him in regards to that. And
throughout the course of this, if you are selected, to take
a look at all the evidence presented, fairly and
impartially.

Now I am not going to be able to speak with each and every one of you individually. So if you do have any questions, feel free to speak up and I can answer anything that you do have. So as the Judge briefly touched on the charges here that you're going to be set to consider, are two drug counts: Criminal possession of a controlled substance in the third degree; and criminal possession of a controlled substance in the fifth degree. In short, it's alleged that the defendant was found in possession of cocaine. Now is there anybody here that would be uncomfortable listening to a trial that involved drug charges? I know it's not anything violent or anything like that. But everybody would be fine to listen and sit through a case that involved these? Okay.

As I mentioned, it's a drug charge. I know that there is varying positions on drug laws. Anybody here believe that drugs should be legal? All right. How many people here believe that marijuana should be legalized?

91 - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -1 (Jurors indicating.) MR. CENTRA: Yes. Medical purposes? 2 3 JUROR NO. 1: A-hum. 4 MR. CENTRA: Can I see the hands again? Yes, 5 Miss Deryke, why do you believe that? 6 JUROR NO. 11: Just because there are many other states like that. It's something that's come up. A lot 7 8 now of the states are considering. 9 MR. CENTRA: A lot of states are legalizing it. Does everybody feel the same way about cocaine? Anybody 10 here that believe that should be legalized? 11 12 (No juror response.) 13 MR. CENTRA: Now one of the things the Judge is going to ask you to look at, it's your job to take a look 14 15 at all the witnesses presented, and it's your job to judge their credibility. There is different factors you can look 16 at in determining, you know, somebody's credibility. You 17 know, the mannerisms, voice inflection, you know, something 18 you guys bring in here with life experience. And that's 19 20 what I am going to ask you to bring. How many people here 21 have children? 22 (Jurors indicating.) 23 MR. CENTRA: A lot. How many have younger 24 children? 25 (Indicating.)

- Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -

MR. CENTRA: How old you are your children, ma'am?

JUROR No. 5: I have three boys: Fifteen year old; thirteen years; and five year old.

MR. CENTRA: Do they ever get in a little bit of mischief growing up? Yes? Innocent stuff?

JUROR No. 7: Innocent stuff, yes.

MR. CENTRA: And when you confront them with, you know, if they got into, you see a lamp broken or something along those lines, would you confront them and ask who did it?

JUROR No. 7: Absolutely.

MR. CENTRA: Would you be able to take a look at your children, you know whether or not they are telling you the truth?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Oh, yes.

MR. CENTRA: What are some of the things you can look at in whether they are telling the truth or not?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Their body language. You can generally tell they are lying or not lying. I have a series of questions. They all know me, that if I don't find out the truth today, I will find out tomorrow.

MR. CENTRA: Yes. So, you know, it's life experience. It's things that you pick up that you can tell. For example, let's say you tell one of your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra

children, I will use the youngest, not to have any desserts or anything before dinner. You don't want them to spoil their dinner. And then, you walk into the kitchen, you see the cookie jar, the lid is off. And you take a look at your child, you see some crumbs and chocolate over, around the corner of their mouth. You ask them, did you have a They will say: Of course, not mom; you told me You take a look at, take a look at your child's face and you use the deduction there. You know, you see the, you see the cookie jar. You see his face. You know, you know what that is. That's kind of what I am asking everybody to look at here. It's take the evidence. You take you know what there is and you determine the credibility. You determine whether there is enough evidence to go forward. Can everybody agree to do that? All right.

Now a little more specifics in regards to this allegation. Like I said, the Judge will read you the law. You will listen to him. He reads that to you. But here the defense is accused of possessing what appeared to be approximately two hundred sixty-two milligrams, a small amount of cocaine. Does anybody here believe just because it's such a minute amount that you wouldn't be able to find the defendant guilty if I prove my case beyond a reasonable doubt?

#### - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -

Can everybody promise me you can listen to the law as the Judge reads it to you and follow that instruction, follow that law, even though you may not feel that it's, you know, the way that you would like it to be? It's kind of like the example I like to use is somebody walks into a Wegmans. You know, you see them take a walk. They go in, grab a candy bar in their coat. They walk out. They end up getting caught. It's not the crime of the century but still a crime. And I would ask you to all take a look at the law here.

Anybody here, I think that was Mr. Berge? Yes, you're an attorney?

JUROR NO. 6: I am.

MR. CENTRA: What kind of attorney?

JUROR NO. 6: Army attorney.

MR. CENTRA: What do you do for, if you don't mind me asking?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Mostly administrative and regulatory, human resources kind of stuff.

MR. CENTRA: You deal with any kind of criminal nature?

POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't do criminal.

MR. CENTRA: This would all be --

POTENTIAL JUROR: I did family law in the past.

MR. CENTRA: I guess you could have a little bit

.9

### - Voir dire - Panel I - Centra -

of cross-over, right? In some cases?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Not too much. I did child abuse and neglect for a while.

MR. CENTRA: All right. And would you be able to take at look at all the evidence fairly and impartially, listen to the witnesses and base your determination on that if you're selected?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: Does anybody here have any like moral or religious beliefs that would prevent them from finding the defendant guilty? So all I am really asking everybody here is just kind of an open mind during the course of this, to listen to everything, take a look at all the witnesses. You determine the credibility. You determine the evidence, and if I prove my case beyond a reasonable doubt, I am going to ask you all to find the defendant guilty.

It's again, like I thank you for your time. Does anybody have any questions of me before I let you go here? Thanks, everyone.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Centra. Mr. LoFaro? (Voir Dire Examination of Panel I by Mr. LoFaro:)

MR. LOFARO: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is John LoFaro. I represent Mr. Jennings over here. And I agree with just about everything

2.4

# - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

that Mr. Centra said, everything that the Judge said, very well stated. What I was told a long, long time ago about trials in general, I have always kept in mind. And I kind of guide myself that way when I am trying a case, when I watch a trial. And it's so unbelievably simple but it means so much. It's that a trial is really nothing more than one story versus another story. And everyone sits here and makes a decision when they assess credibility as to which story is more compelling, which is more credible, which is more believable at the end of the day. And the only difference is between two competing stories. That's an oversimplification, especially in a criminal trial.

If you have a civil trial, it's a preponderance of the evidence. The burden of proof isn't as high. So, it's more of a level playing field when you look at competing stories. You could pick the story you feel is more believable.

The Judge has instructed you, and Mr. Centra touched on it too, I won't touch on it to a great extent because he already explained it at length. And he will explain it to you again. But it's a very, very, very important concept is beyond a reasonable doubt. So that really has to be kept in mind. Because the defendant is cloaked with that innocence, reasonable doubt. So it's far more than just one person's story versus another person's

story. It has to be that one person's story beyond a reasonable doubt. Not just, yes, that sounds like I believe him.

And with regard to crimes in general, there is different, different elements of a crime that must be proven. And for him to be found guilty of anything at all, each and every element of each and every crime that he is charged with needs to be proven. So you can't say: Oh, yes, he did this and he may have done that, so I am going to vote to convict. It has to be: I believe he has done this beyond a reasonable doubt, element No. 1. I believe this element, No. 2. And I believe this element, No. 3.

Now I feel satisfied that I can make that decision. But unless you can reach that conclusion, then you can't, and the Judge will instruct, you find him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of either charge that he's charged with now.

I hate -- I am a big fan of Mr. Centra. I don't want to use his words against him. But I would ask you as jurors, throughout the course of this trial, to keep in mind his words with regard to the drugs that are alleged to have been found. A small amount. First described the amount of drugs that were allegedly possessed by my client. And beyond that, he said: A minute amount. So we have a "small" amount and a "minute" amount. Now bear in mind

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

that he's charged with possession, which is a D-felony. He is also charged with a B-felony: Intent to distribute or sell. Well, I am not going to ask you to draw any conclusions right now. But I think you know where I am going with this, a small amount, a minute amount. And the facts will show a lot more about those two things when we get a little bit further into the trial.

The other thing that bears mention, and the Judge covered it with his opening round of questions, Mr. Centra asked a couple of questions on it, it's very, very important. I think we all realize the very difficult job that law enforcement has in this day and age. Everyone here has, not everyone, but many members of the prospective jury pool here have a number of law enforcement people that they know, are friendly with, work out with, are in school with, family members. But I think one of the very, very important elements is: Are you going to give more credence to somebody that sits up there in a uniform, as opposed to someone who is up there charged with a crime? And if you can't do that, you know, then you really need to be honest with yourself, honest with me, honest and the Court and say, you know, what he -- I really believe, you know, that 99 percent of the time, I am going to side with the officer because I think, you know, they are doing a good job. They are doing a tough job. And they are telling the truth.

Because obviously, that's not always the case. It may be the case but it may not be the case.

It may be the case that Mr. Jennings over there, has been the deprived of some of his constitutional rights. Maybe that Mr. Jennings over there is not guilty of anything that he has been charged with. And everybody, everybody that sits here today has been willing to entertain that possibility, allow him to be cloaked in that presumption of innocence, or a very real problem is created.

I know, I have three children. It seemed like almost every hand went up over here when we spoke about children. When it comes back to law enforcement, I like to draw a little analogy myself. I am probably more guilty than anyone else, but I have small children. I am probably more guilty of it than the children themselves. But with regard to law enforcement, again, very difficult job to do. But you're going to hear a lot of talk about furtive movements, high crime areas, and things that may be tantamount to profiling, down the road. And again this will come out a little bit more too. But I will like to the use footprint analogy. You have small children? Everyone here probably can agree that one of the things you always tell your kids, especially in this weather, especially living in Syracuse is, make sure you take your

11

12

13

14

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. .

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

shoes off when you come in the door. Well, that's what we want them to do. And again, I am guilty myself of it. If you're in a hurry or if you want to find a shortcut, you don't always take those shoes off at the front door. And I know my kids don't. And you know, what does that create, is this, it creates a mess. I have got to mop up the floor. Now you have got work to do. And it's not a good situation. But the reason why you don't take the shoes off is because you're looking to take a shortcut. And unfortunately, sometimes law enforcement does this too. So they don't take their shoes off. They take the shortcuts. They go right, right to the -- they walk in the door, they go right for the refrigerator. They help themselves to a Coca-Cola; and that's the shortcut.

But procedurally speaking, the general public, the lay person suffers if he is denied his constitutional rights, because law enforcement has decided to take a shortcut, has decided to not take their shoes off. Did he go right where they feel they needed to be to get accomplished, they feel they need to accomplish? They are going to draw conclusions that they shouldn't draw, and not follow the proper procedures that they should follow.

With that being said, I am going to now, Judge, I apologize, Your Honor, are we asking specific questions of specific jurors right now or did you just want to open?

- Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

THE COURT: Right now.

2

1

MR. LOFARO: Okay.

3

THE COURT: Now is the time, John.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOFARO: Thank you, Judge. I did make some Again, it does that, almost everybody here has some children and has some pretty interesting, pretty interesting stories to tell. I am going to give each of you an opportunity to tell me a little bit about yourself and your feelings right now.

One of my, one of my shortcomings -- I never shut up -- is it's really, this is really my chance to give you an opportunity to, you know, share some of your personal life characteristics with us so we can all figure out you know who we are and whether we actually you know are going to be good jurors. Which again, as the Judge said, as Mr. Centra said, this is your civic duty. It's a pain in the I know a lot of people don't want to do it. Every once in a while there is a stray individual, yes. to see what the system is like, and I am curious to see the way a jury trial works. But more often than not, I think the general consensus is, as all of you have said who have got small kids that: I need to be home for when they get off the bus. I have got a job that's not going to pay me unless I can get back to work, you know, some time reasonably soon. And others probably say, you know:

## - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

just don't want to be here. But thank you all for being here.

I was selected one time. I just ran into a friend of mine at lunch who was sitting in a jury pool right now. He said his trial might be two and-a-half weeks long. So, you know, my heart went out to him. I know he is a business man. He runs his own company. Again, thank you all for being here, and doing your civic duty.

Now let me, I will, I will try and be brief as I said, I tend to go on and on. Ms. Robbins?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: We got off to the start at the beginning, Miss Robbins, but your first -- and again, this is just, this is, a lot of stuff doesn't have anything to do with the law or the guilt or innocence of the victim.

It's just to get a sense of who you are. And I notice that you put on here that you did have someone that you knew that works in a law office or had some type of a relationship to a law office?

JUROR: No.

MR. LOFARO: Are you Miss Robbins?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Maybe you checked the wrong box. It says.

POTENTIAL JUROR: My uncle is a prison quard.

- Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

MR. LOFARO: All right. Where is he a prison quard?

POTENTIAL JUROR: He was, like, works an hour away from the town. I am trying to think. It's toward -- he lives in Elbridge.

MR. LOFARO: Okay.

POTENTIAL JUROR: He goes -- I don't know where he works.

MR. LOFARO: Maybe Auburn?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I think he is farther out.

MR. LOFARO: Okay.

POTENTIAL JUROR: He has been there four years.

MR. LOFARO: Did you say you had any other individuals in law enforcement or no?

JUROR NO. 1: My brother -- well, he wasn't, he was my cousin's husband. He was a sheriff in I guess Manlius, he is relatively new.

MR. LOFARO: Again, Miss Robbins, with regard to what I was talking about earlier, would you give any greater credence to an officer up there giving testimony than you would to an individual charged with a crime giving testimony?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

MR. LOFARO: Okay. Let's go to Mr. McCarthy.

JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

JUROR NO. 3: All in-house.

# - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

MR. LOFARO: What an interesting cross-section of individuals. Good for you. Very, very interesting. Well, then I will ask you the same question but I have no doubt with all those diverging opinions and professions, you would have no problem being impartial?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

MR. LOFARO: And sitting in a jury. Same to you, Mr. Russo? The question being, would you give any greater credence to someone wearing a uniform, a law enforcement officer, than you would a lay person?

JUROR NO. 4: Well, 95 percent of them are doing a good job.

MR. LOFARO: Yes. Absolutely. Yes. Yes, that's true. The question is, and maybe you just answered it for me. Are you telling me you would give a law enforcement officer the benefit of the doubt, a little more credibility than because of the uniform?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, because they are doing their job. They are doing a tough, tough job out there.

MR. LOFARO: Never been tougher.

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Yes. Miss Dewey?

JUROR No. 5: A-hum.

MR. LOFARO: You work for Judge Lauri?

JUROR No. 5: Yes.

106 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -MR. LOFARO: Former prosecutor. Para-military. 1 That's a really tough question for you. But, you know, I 2 have no doubt that you're going to answer honestly. You 3 have no problem with being impartial whatsoever? 4 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I can be. MR. LOFARO: Okay. What did you do out there in 6 7 the Town of Clay. Did you actually work? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I work in his law office. MR. LOFARO: Okay. All right. So you do a lot 9 10 of real estate maybe, or no? 11 JUROR NO. 5: Yes, real estate. 12 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Among other things. MR. LOFARO: Yes. I notice on here it says 14 15 you're a reader? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 17 MR. LOFARO: Who do you like to read? 18 JUROR No. 5: Nicholas Sparks. 19 MR. LOFARO: The Notebook? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 21 MR. LOFARO: Who doesn't like Nicholas Sparks? 22 At least the movies, not the novels. 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 24 MR. LOFARO: I just saw one the other day.

can't remember the other name of it. It was a newer one.

107 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -1 And what you alluded to earlier, that wouldn't affect your 2 ability either? 3 JUROR No. 5: No, I don't think so. MR. LOFARO: Okay. Counselor, no problems being 4 5 impartial? 6 JUROR NO. 6: All of our people are in uniform. 7 So that's what I do every day. 8 MR. LOFARO: Yes, thank you for your service. 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: You're welcome. 10 MR. LOFARO: Ms. Dhamoon, I couldn't wait to get 11. through lunch because I was reading these, and I don't 12 know, pardon my ignorance, I have no idea what a Ku-man 13 [ph] instructor is. But I am anxious to find out? JUROR No. 7: It's an after-school enrichment 14 15 program for kids from preschool to high school. 16 MR. LOFARO: Wow. 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: So. 18 MR. LOFARO: That's great. Like I guess, I could say the same thing, thank you for your service too. 19 20 simply a vital role. 21 Mr. Corbett? 22 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 23 MR. LOFARO: Did you say you had some family 24 members that were involved in law enforcement? 25 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. My cousin is with the state

108 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -1 troopers. 2 MR. LOFARO: Is he stationed locally or barracks 3 nearby? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: I believe he is in Elbridge, 5 yes. 6 MR. LOFARO: Okay. And are you close with him or 7 are you? POTENTIAL JUROR: Not very, no. 8 MR. LOFARO: Not very. Your relationship with 9 10 him would not have been? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, it is not. 12 MR. LOFARO: Okay. And Mr. Herrera, same 13 question to you. Did you say you work all with these guys? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: I play hockey. 15 MR. LOFARO: Play hockey with them? 16 JUROR NO. 9: I know one officer who is going to 17 be on the stand. 18 MR. LOFARO: Really? 19 JUROR NO. 9: Yes. 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Our sons play hockey together. 21 MR. LOFARO: Officer Proud? 22 JUROR No. 9: Yes. MR. LOFARO: Great. All right. Mr. Foote? 23 24 JUROR NO. 10: Yes. 25 MR. LOFARO: Sports. Reading. Hiking.

# - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

JUROR NO. 10: That's a good job, but no. Timothy Olyphant.

MR. LOFARO: Yes. That's funny. Again, he is probably going to cut me off at some point, the Judge. But the original show, Cheers, one of the first assistant directors was Steve LoFaro. I found out his contact information. One point in time, I wrote him a letter. He sent me a card with a copy of his family tree. He said, if you're in California, come out and see the show on me. And the idiot I am, I never took him out on that. To my -- I wish I had really done that now. I used to love that show.

All right. Same question to you, Mr. Foote, any problem being impartial?

JUROR NO. 10: No, not at all.

MR. LOFARO: Okay. Is it Miss Deryke?

JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Deryke. You ride a motorcycle? POTENTIAL JUROR: I do.

MR. LOFARO: Oh, then you're very open minded and you're very impartial, so I don't even have to ask you questions. Anybody who rides a bike is not going to exhibit a bias, I don't think. I am pretty comfortable with you.

And Mr. Putnam?

JUROR NO. 12: A-hum.

111 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -MR. LOFARO: Confident that any of those things 1 2 that we discussed up at the podium won't have any effect on 3 your ability to impartially hear these facts? POTENTIAL JUROR: 4 No. 5 MR. LOFARO: My good friend John Jones lived in 6 I used to visit him quite a bit. That's where you 7 hail from originally, Ithaca? 8 JUROR NO. 12: A-hum. 9 MR. LOFARO: What the Moose --10 POTENTIAL JUROR: What? 11 MR. LOFARO: The Moose Wood Restaurant, you have 12 been there? POTENTIAL JUROR: I have been past there. 13 14 never been to it. 15 MR. LOFARO: Well, they got a beautiful town. 16 You're a wildlife biologist? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Correct. 18 MR. LOFARO: And your wife is a capital finance 19 engineer, yes? 20 JUROR NO. 12: A-hum. 21 MR. LOFARO: Wow. That's a power couple. 22 All right. Again, are you a volunteer firemen yourself? 23 JUROR NO. 12: Yes. 24 MR. LOFARO: For what, what? 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: Fairmount.

- Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

MR. LOFARO: Really?

POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum.

MR. LOFARO: Miss Robinson?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: How are you?

JUROR NO. 13: Good.

MR. LOFARO: Same questions to you. Have no problem listening to my client up there take the witness stand, and give him that presumption of innocence that the law cloaks him with, correct?

JUROR NO. 13: A-hum.

MR. LOFARO: Well, would you give any greater credence to a law enforcement officer that's on the stand than you would my client?

JUROR NO. 13: You expect them to be honest. You expect them to be honest.

MR. LOFARO: Are you saying, conversely, you expect the defendant to be dishonest?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No, no.

MR. LOFARO: Would you give him, if the scales were even, would you ask, would you do what the Court instructs you to do, and treat them both equally and hear both of their stories?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Not give one greater credence over

113 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -1 another? 2 JUROR NO. 13: A-hum. 3 MR. LOFARO: Miss Gallardo? 4 JUROR NO. 14: Yes. 5 MR. LOFARO: Here is the real reader. 6 literature here. This is the professional here, Miss 7 Gallardo? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Do what you like. 9 MR. LOFARO: Whereabouts in New York City do you hail from? 10 11 JUROR NO. 14: I was born in New York City. I was born in Harlem and I grew up a little bit west side and 12 13 a little bit Bronx. I came to S.U. Stayed right there. 14 MR. LOFARO: Nice. A very, very good friend of 15 mine grew up in Pelham Bay in the Bronx. 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: That's up north from me. 17 MR. LOFARO: I did a show. I do some acting on 18 the side. I did a show called: Our 129th Street about a school in Spanish Harlem. A lot of history. 19 20 Miss Gallardo, you don't have any preconceived notions, do you, with regard to the testimony of law 21 22 enforcement officers? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 24 MR. LOFARO: Would you give their testimony any

greater credence than you would give a lay individual

25

115 - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -1 MR. LOFARO: I have been through it three times. 2 I got three daughters. 3 Mr. Breen, how are you? JUROR NO. 16: Good. 4 5 MR. LOFARO: Comfortable sitting on the jury, you 6 being on the panel? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 8 MR. LOFARO: Are you sure? You kind of shrugged. 9 Positive? 10 Let's see, how are you, Mr. Cole? 11 JUROR NO. 17: Mas o menos. 12 MR. LOFARO: Just okay? 13 JUROR NO. 17: A-hum. MR. LOFARO: Miss Molini? How are you? 14 15 JUROR NO. 18: Good. 16 MR. LOFARO: I know some people that work at 17 Bristol-Myers but it wouldn't be appropriate to start 18 talking about them. I will refrain. I did notice you said 19 your favorite activities are ice hockey? Would you -- you 20 play ice hockey? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 21 22 MR. LOFARO: That's impressive. Maybe you guys could swap stories, ice hockey stories. 23 24 I am sorry, is it Nagy? 25 JUROR NO. 19: Yes.

### - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

MR. LOFARO: Nagy? South Carolina, wow. Where the weather is nice and warm.

JUROR NO. 19: I was young at that point.

MR. LOFARO: Pardon me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

POTENTIAL JUROR: I was a bit young.

MR. LOFARO: Okay. Comfortable sitting on this jury, no problems?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: Likewise, ma'am?

JUROR NO. 20: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: No problems?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

MR. LOFARO: Fair and impartial? Ms. Hayes?

JUROR NO. 21: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: You do?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. LOFARO: No problems?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No problems.

MR. LOFARO: Okay. Thank you all so much. I appreciate it. I appreciate your candor and I appreciate your answers.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. LoFaro. Folks, what we are going to do is I will give the attorneys a few moments to review their notes. Then we are going to go back over to the podium and speak about what jurors are going to stay

### - Voir dire - Panel I - LoFaro -

with us. And which are going to leave.

Let me just do a couple of housekeeping matters that I didn't talk about earlier while we have this moment to let them review. In all criminal cases, the law requires that the Court set bail. Here, you may have noticed Mr. Jennings is in jail garb. He has been unable to make the bail that was set. You're not to draw any inference whatsoever against Mr. Jennings due to his financial inability to post bail. That of course is not evidence of anything. So I appreciate you listening to that admonition.

And when the attorneys are ready, you come on up to the podium, then we can get started with our challenges. And folks, you may talk quietly amongst yourselves, if you would like. If you need to stand up and stretch or anything, that's fine. We are going to stay here in the courtroom while we get this done. And we have to be quiet enough so Mr. Reagan can take everything down. Okay, Pat. (The following Challenges to Panel I occurred at the podium:)

THE COURT: All right. Gentlemen, as we talked about yesterday or Friday, we need 12. So we are just going to talk about 1 through 12, to start with 1 through 12. We are going to start with the Court's challenges for cause. I turn to you, Mr. Centra. Any challenges for cause, 1 through 12? That's Robbins through Putnam?

118 - Challenges - Panel I -MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: All right. Just John, challenges for 2 cause, for those 12? Not peremptories but any challenges 3 for cause? 4 5 MR. LOFARO: Well, how about Russo? He said he was going to give the officer more credibility than a lay 6 7 person. THE COURT: No. 4, Russo? 8 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Joe, do you want, do you want to be 11 heard on that? 12 MR. CENTRA: I don't think I have a basis for 13 14 objection. THE COURT: I will grant Mr. LoFaro's challenge 1.5 for cause for Juror No. 4, Mr. Russo. He is out. Any 16 17 others, John, for 1 through 12? For cause? 18 THE CLERK: Am I in your way, John? MR. LOFARO: Well, I mean, I really like him, but 19 I think he should probably go. 20 THE COURT: For cause? 21 22 MR. LOFARO: Well, well. THE COURT: Based on? 23 MR. LOFARO: You know, he knows me, No. 1. 24 maybe it should be his cause. But he plays hockey with the 25

### - Challenges - Panel I -

guys. And he also knows law enforcement. Maybe it's kind of feeble, but.

THE COURT: No. Well, he does know you, through your children. And he does know law enforcement. But he did say he could be fair and impartial. Here in the community this size, everyone is going to know somebody from law enforcement. The bottom is line is, can you be fair and impartial? He said, yes, to me; he said, yes to you. Any other cause challenges, John?

MR. LOFARO: Let's see 1, 2, 3 -- let's see, where are we going to?

THE COURT: Mr. Putnam, which is, he is third from the left on the second, middle row.

MR. LOFARO: Well, no.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go to peremptory challenges. And Joe, we are going to go 1 through 12 still, we are going 1 through 12, Robbins through Putnam. How about any peremptories?

MR. CENTRA: Judge, I am going to preempt Miss Robbins.

THE COURT: That's No. 1.

MR. CENTRA: Seat one.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: Miss -- sorry, Mr., seat 6? Mr. Corbett, seat 8.

-1

1.8

120 - Challenges - Panel I -1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. CENTRA: And Miss Deryke. 3 THE COURT: No. 11? 4 MR. CENTRA: Yes. Mr. Putnam, too. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So you used five. Is that 6 what you have? 7 THE CLERK: A-hum. 8 THE COURT: So, John, Joe got rid of No. 1, No. 9 6, No. 8, No. 11 and No. 12. 10 MR. LOFARO: Who did you get rid of, which ones? 11 The first girl, first lady? 12 THE COURT: 1, 6, 8, 11 and 12. 13 MR. LOFARO: The attorney? 14 MR. CENTRA: Yes. 15 THE COURT: So what we have left. 16 MR. LOFARO: You got rid of the guy with the 17 beard? The one on this end? 18 MR. CENTRA: Yes. MR. LOFARO: All right. Who is 11, the girl? 19 20 MR. CENTRA: Yes. 21 THE COURT: She is gone. 22 MR. LOFARO: What's wrong with you? Let's see. 23 I will get rid of No. 5, Lauri's employee. 24 THE COURT: She is out? 25 MR. LOFARO: Yes.

121 - Challenges - Panel I -1 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LOFARO: And I guess I will let my buddy go. 2 He is probably -- he is probably pretty prosecutorial 3 minded. 4 5 THE COURT: Herrera? MR. LOFARO: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: He is out? 8 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 9 THE COURT: No. 9. MR. LOFARO: We are only doing the first 12, 10 obviously. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay with the others then? 13 THE CLERK: No. 2, No. 3, No. 7. THE COURT: And 10. 14 MR. LOFARO: Yes, that's fine. 15 THE COURT: All right. So we picked up 2, 3, 7, 16 That's four jurors. So that means we need eight. 17 and 10. 18 So we are going to talk about 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, Actually, we will talk about the rest. 13 through 19 20 21, challenges for cause, Mr. Centra? MR. CENTRA: None for cause. 21 22 THE COURT: And John, 13 through 21, any challenges for cause? 23 MR. LOFARO: Clearly, Johnny's high school 24 classmate there, that said he couldn't be impartial. 25

122 - Challenges - Panel I -THE COURT: Which one was that, 17? 1 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 2 Is that Cole? THE COURT: Cole? 3 MR. CENTRA: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to? 5 I don't think I can, Judge. MR. CENTRA: 6 THE COURT: Okay. So, all right. So Cole is out 7 for cause, yes. Any others, John, for cause? MR. LOFARO: No, no others for cause. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Now we are going to turn to 10 peremptory challenges. And we will turn to Mr. Centra, and 11 ask you, Joseph, any preempts for 13 through 21, excluding 12 17 who is gone already? 13 MR. CENTRA: Just No. 14. 14 THE COURT: No others? 15 MR. CENTRA: That's the only one, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So John, you have 13 through 17 21, knowing that 14 and 17 are gone. 18 MR. LOFARO: Did you get rid of Gallardo? 19 MR. CENTRA: Yes. 20 MR. LOFARO: She looks like a killer. She looks 21 like your size. Are you sure about that? 22 Can't unring the bell. THE COURT: 23 I don't really --Let's see. MR. LOFARO: Jeez. 24

I don't have any problem with the rest of these jurors.

25

123 - Challenges - Panel I -THE COURT: You like them? 1 2 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. So we are picking up, Miss Robinson is 13. Picking up Crandell, 15. Breen, 16. 4 5 Molini, 18. Nagy, 19. Case, 20. And Mylene. Does that 6 mean we have 11? 7 THE CLERK: I think so. THE COURT: All right. So we have 11. I am 8 ready to take those 11, swear them in, and we will fill the 9 box. I need one more, and two alternates. 10 (Back in open court:) 11 THE COURT: Folks, we had our discussions at the 12 13 Bench, and the challenges have been made. And the good news, we picked up 11 jurors. 14 I am going to call your name. If I call your 15 name, you're going to stay. The others -- do they need any 16 paperwork? 17 THE CLERK: Yes, they do. They have to go 18 downstairs. 19 THE COURT: Are they coming to you first? 20 THE CLERK: Just to get their card. 21 THE COURT: Folks, if I call your name, stay 22 where you are for a moment. The ones that I do not call, 23 come over and see Diane. She will give you paperwork. 24

You're going to be done for the day. You're going to be

25

## - Challenges - Panel I -

done for ten years. Okay? The others will stay with us.

Steven McCarthy. Guy Donahoe. Avinder Dhamoon. Sean Foote. Henry Robinson. Gregory Crandell. Dustin Breen. Roseann Molini. Alex Nagy. Sandra Case. And Mylene Hayes.

The rest of you, thanks very much. Step over here and we will see our clerk. And then we will put these folks, Chrissie, in their proper seats and we will swear them in.

(Pause for seating of selected jurors of Panel I.)

THE COURT: All right. Folks, thanks very much. You're sworn jurors. I am going to swear you in at this point. As a sworn juror -- we will swear you in. Officer Walsh is going to take you to the juryroom. We will pick -- what we need are basically three more jurors. We will pick the rest of our jury. We will get you all together. Give you some preliminary instructions. And we will break for the day. Okay?

If you all stand and raise your right hand for me? Thank you.

(The Court swore in the picked jurors of Panel I.)

THE COURT: Sit right back down for a moment.

And folks, those are going to be your seats going forward.

That's where you will be during the trial. And remember those for the morning.

#### - Voir dire - Panel II -

As I said, we are going to pick the rest of the jury. We will get that, hopefully, not too much more time. I will have you in the back. And then I will bring you back out and admonish -- you guys don't know each other a little bit. Talk about anything you want to talk about except this trial, okay? Don't let anybody talk to you about the trial. If anybody does try to talk to you or approach you about the trial, make sure you tell my court officer or myself. Okay? Thanks very much. We will have you go back to the juryroom.

(Pause for the selected jurors of Panel I leaving the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The rest of us will go back to the podium and get some jurors.

(Following occurred at the podium for selection of Panel II:)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Diane.

THE CLERK: Okay. Juror No. 271, Melissa Morgan, M-o-r-g-a-n.

THE COURT: John, do you want to come up? Good afternoon, Ms. Morgan?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Good, how are you?

THE COURT: Good. Let's, these are four, five questions. Do you have a yes to any of those?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Go right up there. Thank you.

۷,

```
126
                   - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -
       Melissa Morgan.
1
        (All present at the podium, with the defendant.)
2
3
                  THE CLERK: Juror No. 244, William Castagna,
5
        C-a-s-t-a-g-n-a.
6
                  THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Castagna?
7
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Not bad. How are you doing,
8
        sir?
9
                  THE COURT: How about any yes's to our five
10
        questions?
11
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: I do have Crohn's disease, but
12
        I don't think that is a problem.
13
                  THE COURT: As a matter of fact, does it affect
14
        you at all?
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: It does in the mornings.
15
                  THE COURT: Okay. Just so to get up and getting
16
17
        started?
18
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.
                  THE COURT: Yes?
19
20
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: Nope. That was a toss up.
                  THE COURT: Tell me about that?
21
22
                   POTENTIAL JUROR: I just have some convictions
23
        about stuff I have been through.
                   THE COURT: A-hum.
24
                   POTENTIAL JUROR: Some problems with marijuana in
25
```

127 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -1 the past. THE COURT: Sure. Let me tell you what we have 2 3 You know we have allegations of possession of drugs. here. Okay? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 5 THE COURT: I believe it's cocaine in this 6 matter. What we are asking you, on behalf of Mr. Jennings 7 and the D.A., is can you listen to the evidence and 8 determine the case only on the evidence in this case, and 9 not anything outside of this courtroom? 10 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Can you do that? 12 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Anything? MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 15 MR. LOFARO: No, Judge. 16 Okay. Have a seat. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: Thank you. 19 THE CLERK: Juror No. 230, Robert Smith. 2.0 THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Smith? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 22 We have five questions that I 23 THE COURT: Good. asked earlier, sir. Any yes's to any of those? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 25

128 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Which ones? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: 2 THE COURT: 1. Talk about that. 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: My wife. Back in the 80's. 4 THE COURT: A-hum. A-hum. 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: (Unintelligible.) 6 THE COURT: Shoplifting? Probation? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 8 Anything about that that wouldn't 9 THE COURT: allow you to be fair and impartial here? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: Anything else? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 2. 13 THE COURT: That was 2? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. I do have people in law 15 enforcement. A son is a sheriff. And my nephew is City of 16 17 Syracuse Police. THE COURT: Who was that? 18 John Hamlin. POTENTIAL JUROR: 19 THE COURT: Okay. The fact that you have 20 relatives in law enforcement, would you give them more 21 credence than any other witness or would you listen to 22 23 their testimony like anybody's? POTENTIAL JUROR: Listen. 24 THE COURT: Beautiful. Any questions? 25

129 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court sorry, gentlemen. 1 No, Your Honor. MR. CENTRA: 3 MR. LOFARO: No. THE COURT: Sorry about that. 4 5 Mildred Ganias, No. 241, G-a-n-i-a-s. 6 THE CLERK: 7 Ganias? THE COURT: POTENTIAL JUROR: Ganias. She said it right. 8 Nobody ever gets it right. 9 THE COURT: I shouldn't question Diane. 10 MR. LOFARO: You got it right? Nobody ever. 11 THE COURT: How about our five questions? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Question 1 is a yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Could you tell us about that? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was when I was a child. 15 was sexually abused. 16 THE COURT: Okay. How old were you? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Eight. 18 THE COURT: And these aren't the kind of things 19 20 that we don't get over. POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 21 THE COURT: Knowing that that's something that 22 you have to put aside over here, and never forget, can you 23 judge this case by whatever happens in this courtroom? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: I think so. 25

130 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Okay, what else? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: On No. 3. 2 THE COURT: A-hum. 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am in the process of just moving -- I have three herniated disks in my back. 5 makes it hard to sit for long periods of time. 6 THE COURT: Whatever, jurors had a similar 7 situation. She actually is bringing in her own pillow. 8 don't want you to be uncomfortable. When it comes to a 9 point in time we have been sitting too long, you can let us 10 know? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 12 THE COURT: We will take care of it. 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 14 THE COURT: I don't want that to be an impediment 15 unless you tell me every five minutes. 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 17 THE COURT: If you could sit for an hour, then we 18 could probably. 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 2.0 THE COURT: Anything else? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 22 MR. CENTRA: Briefly, in regards to the case, you 23 were the victim, was anybody charged in the crime? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. I had to go to trial. 25

131 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -MR. CENTRA: Okay. Was there anything about the 1 way law enforcement or the D.A.'s office handled it that 2 3 left a negative feeling with you? POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, yes. 4 MR. CENTRA: You briefly touch on that? 5 I felt the case wasn't handled 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: properly because I was abused longer than he got in jail. 7 8 MR. CENTRA: A-hum. POTENTIAL JUROR: This was back in 1981. 9 laws were not like they are now. 10 11 MR. CENTRA: A-hum. Would that for any reason 12 cause any prejudice for you against the D.A.'s office or the? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think so. 14 MR. CENTRA: Any law enforcement? 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think so. 16 17 MR. CENTRA: Okay, thank you. 18 THE COURT: Anything? MR. LOFARO: Nothing, Judge. 19 Thanks, ma'am. 20 THE COURT: POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 21 22 Juror No. 252, Shantra Brown, 23 THE CLERK: First name is S-h-a-n-t-r-a. 24 THE COURT: Hi, Miss Brown. 25

132 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi. 1 THE COURT: How are you? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. 3 THE COURT: Happy to be here? How about our five 4 questions? Do you have any yes's to those? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. Just No. 2. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell us about that? 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was me, a harassment charge. 8 THE COURT: It's a violation, is that correct? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 1.0 11 THE COURT: How long ago was that? POTENTIAL JUROR: Last year. Like in October. 12 THE COURT: Where? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Here. 14 THE COURT: In Syracuse? 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 16 THE COURT: And the case has been resolved, is it 17 18 over? POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the 20 way it was handled or not? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. You know it was prosecuted by 23 Mr. Centra's office, most likely the D.A.'s office. 24 you going to hold that against them? 25

133 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 1 THE COURT: You know, any questions? 2 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 3 MR. LOFARO: No, Judge. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Miss Brown, if you have a seat for us, thank you. 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 7 8 THE CLERK: Juror No. 272 Kevin Powers, 9 1.0 P-o-w-e-r-s. THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Powers. 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: How are you doing? 12 THE COURT: Good, thanks. Matter of five 13 questions here, do you have any yes's on those? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: I have a cousin who just had I 15 think the third DWI. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. POTENTIAL JUROR: Pretty recent. She is like in 18 19 rehab now. THE COURT: In Onondaga County? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: Allegheny, I think. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that 22 that would not allow you to be fair here? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Not with that. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25

- Voir dire - Panel II - Court -

POTENTIAL JUROR: And then I had a friend pass away from something who was impaired on a couple things traveling.

THE COURT: Okay. The charges here are drugs, as we know. The allegations are some possession of drugs.

Anything about that that is not going to allow you to be fair and impartial in this case?

POTENTIAL JUROR: No.

1.3

THE COURT: All right. I will say this to you now, Mr. Powers. I say, the decision the jury makes is based, you know, we all have our life experiences. Every one of us have had things happen to us. But the decision that we need you to make if you're chosen is only from the testimony from the witness stand and any exhibits. Can you do that for us?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything?

MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

MR. LOFARO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Great. Have a seat. Thanks.

\* \* \*

THE CLERK: Juror No. 296, Donald Dietz, D-e-i-t-z.

THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Dietz?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Good, how are you?

135 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Good. Thanks. We have our five 1 questions here. Any yes's to those? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Tell me about that? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: I had some of my checks stolen 5 from, possession. 6 7 THE COURT: From you personally? POTENTIAL JUROR: From my truck. 8 THE COURT: Okay, how long ago? 9 Ten years ago. POTENTIAL JUROR: 10 THE COURT: Onondaga County? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: Oswego County. 12 THE COURT: Was anybody charged? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: They caught the kid with the 14 checkbook. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Criminal possession of. 17 18 forgery. THE COURT: Did you have to testify or did it get 19 resolved before all that? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: I didn't have to testify. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that 22 that would not allow you to be fair and impartial here? 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am -- no, probably not. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I just said to the last few 25

136 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court people that come up, things happen in all our lives that we 1 have to kind of put aside. We walk in here, if you can, 2 and base our testimony in this case, our decision, I should 3 say, on the testimony and the evidence. Can you do that 4 for us? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Probably. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I have to -- for Mr. Jennings, 7 please? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: We needed "yes." Go ahead. Anything 10 else? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. ·12 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat, please. 13 14 you. 15 THE CLERK: Juror 250, Michele Lisowski, 16 L-i-s-o-w-s-k-i. 17 Can you spell that last name for me THE COURT: 18 again, please? 19 THE CLERK: L-i-s-o-w-s-k-i. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Lisowski, how are you? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good, how are you? 22 THE COURT: Good. I have our five questions here 23 Any question to these? Michele. 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: 25

137 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: None? You have got a lucky life. 1 MR. LOFARO: Good girl. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 THE CLERK: Juror No. 249, Nicole Bukowski, 5 B-u-k-o-w-s-k-i. 6 7 THE COURT: Miss Bukowski, how are you? POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 8 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. We have our five 9 questions here. Miss Bukowski, any yes's to any of those? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Just No. 1. But it was only 25 11 12 years ago. THE COURT: What was the nature of it? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: I got robbed at knife point. 14 got off the bus. 15 THE COURT: Locally? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Was somebody charged? 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I couldn't -- I never saw 19 the face. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Got you. And obviously, you 21 have kind of stayed -- it's been so far, it's been so long 22 23 ago? POTENTIAL JUROR: I haven't thought about it 24 until I read the question. 25

138 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Got you. You're telling us even with 1 2 that happening, you could be fair and impartial? 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely. THE COURT: Anything else of these? 4 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: 6 THE COURT: Anything, Joe? 7 MR. CENTRA: Since you weren't able to, I 8 guess -- no charges were filed, did you have any negative feeling towards law enforcement? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 10 11 MR. CENTRA: Okay. 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Not at all. 13 THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro? MR. LOFARO: No questions. Admit her. 14 15 tough. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 THE CLERK: Juror No. 327, Jennifer Crossley, 18 19 C-r-o-s-s-l-e-y. 20 THE COURT: Miss Crossley, how are you? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 22 THE COURT: Good. How about our five questions? POTENTIAL JUROR: 23 I have two. THE COURT: Victim? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 25

139 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -Tell us about that? THE COURT: 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was domestic violence, is my 2 husband. 3 THE COURT: How long ago? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Last time was about five years. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Was he prosecuted? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, because I never filed any 7 charges. 8 These are difficult THE COURT: Okay. 9 situations, believe me, I was a domestic violence judge for 10 12 years. Is there anything about that that carries over 11 into this case or can you be fair and impartial here? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: I can tell you right now, all 13 this stuff, drug violations that he had -- no, I won't be. 14 THE COURT: Because he had things where he had 15 been charged with drug --16 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 17 THE COURT: This a drug case? 18 A-hum. POTENTIAL JUROR: 19 THE COURT: Any problem with that? 20 MR. CENTRA: Consent. 21 MR. LOFARO: No problem. 22 THE COURT: Thanks, Miss Crossley. Get your 23 paperwork from Diane, please. You can go back downstairs. 2.4 25 Okay.

140 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: Yep. 1 2 3 THE CLERK: Juror No. 279, Doris Grome, 4 G-r-o-m-e. 5 THE COURT: How are you, Miss Grome? POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 6 7 THE COURT: Good. Our five questions, any yes's to those? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Well, yes. THE COURT: Which one? 10 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: One. THE COURT: So you know someone who has been the 12 victim of a crime? 13 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: Victim? No. 15 THE COURT: Charged with a crime? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Who was that? POTENTIAL JUROR: My parents and myself. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago? 20 POTENTIAL JUROR: DWI. THE COURT: How long ago are we talking about? 21 22 POTENTIAL JUROR: It's been years. THE COURT: Yes. 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Myself, five or six. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Was it prosecuted here in 25

141 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -Onondaga County? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 2 THE COURT: Was anything about that that you 3 would hold ill feelings towards law enforcement or anyone? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that 6 that would not allow you to be fair and impartial in this 7 8 case? POTENTIAL JUROR: 9 THE COURT: Okay. Any other yes's? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: Any questions, Joe? 12 13 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thanks for your honesty, ma'am. 15 16 a seat. 17 THE CLERK: Juror 328, Robin Sparks, S-p-a-r-k-s. 18 THE COURT: Hi, Miss Sparks. 1.9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Hi. 20 THE COURT: How are you? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. 22 THE COURT: Miss Sparks, we have the five 23 questions, do you have yes's to any of our five? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: This one. 25

142 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: We start with that. 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Who do you know that has been the 3 victim of a crime? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: My husband. 5 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was about 20 years or so. 7 THE COURT: What was the nature of the charge? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Gun possession. Drinking and 9 driving, and driving while drunk. 10 THE COURT: Was he the victim or was he charged? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: He was charged. 12 THE COURT: Okay. The case was resolved, was it 13 in Syracuse, in Onondaga County? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: A-hum. 15 THE COURT: Was it, back then, it was prosecuted 16 by the D.A.'s office, I don't know if Mr. Centra was born. 17 But it was prosecuted by the D.A.'s office. Do you hold 18 any ill will toward anyone how that happened, how it was 19 2.0 resolved? POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I don't. I didn't go to 21 22 court. THE COURT: Got you. All right. Anything else 23 as far as you know? Anything, take your time? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: This one here. My son was. 25

143 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Your son has had some, was it a DWAI? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: No crimes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know what it was. 4 THE COURT: How long ago? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: About five years ago. 6 THE COURT: Were you probably a little bit more 7 involved in that one? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 9 THE COURT: Really? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: You let him sink or swim? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: There you go. 13 THE COURT: How old is he now? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: He is 37. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: A condition, my husband was 17 sick. 18 THE COURT: What's the matter? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: He has OPD. He just had three 20 and-a-half stents put in about a week and a half ago. He 21 had a problem. 22 THE COURT: Does he have care? Do you need to be 23 with him? 2.4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 25

144 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: Which one? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: My husband. 2 3 THE COURT: Do you need to be there or does he have care? 4 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, I need to be there with 6 him. 7 THE COURT: Would this be an inconvenience for the rest of the week? 8 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 9 10 THE COURT: Gentlemen? MR. CENTRA: Consent. 11 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay, Miss Sparks, thanks for your honesty. We are going to relieve you. You're going to get 14 15 your paperwork from Miss Helbig. You can go back 16 downstairs. And good luck with your husband. 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 THE CLERK: Juror No. 312, Joseph Damarville, 20 D-a-m-a-r-v-i-l-l-e. 21 THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Damarville, how are you? 22 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. How are you? 24 THE COURT: Good. 25 POTENTIAL JUROR: Sir?

145 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: How are you? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am good. 2 THE COURT: Joseph, what about these? 3 (Indicating.) 4 THE COURT: 1 and 2? These parts of a victim? 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Oh, I got robbed. 6 THE COURT: A-hum. 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: As a young man. I got a 8 bruise, slashed. 9 THE COURT: That's where you? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Correct, right. 11 THE COURT: Were people charged with the crime? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Was that local, Onondaga County? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, it was not. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that, 16 as you sit here today, that would not allow you to be fair 17 and impartial in this case? 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Is that the only one as far as 20 victim goes? 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 22 THE COURT: How about No. 2, what can you tell us 23 about that? 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was charge. 12 years ago. 25

146 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: What was it? 1 POTENTIAL JUROR: Excuse me? 2 THE COURT: What was the charge? 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: Domestic violence and resisting 4 Best thing that ever happened to me. 5 arrest. THE COURT: They were resolved, correct? 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: Correct. 7 THE COURT: I know they were prosecuted by the 8 District Attorney's office at the time. Do you hold any 9 ill will towards them? 10 POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely not. 11 THE COURT: You said it worked out well for you? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Worked well. 13 THE COURT: Can you promise Mr. Jennings and the 14 D.A. that you would be fair and impartial? 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Absolutely. 18 THE COURT: Anything else, Joe? 19 MR. CENTRA: No. 20 MR. LOFARO: Nothing, Judge. 21 Thanks. THE COURT: Great. Have a seat. 22 23 THE CLERK: Juror No. 278, Jan Slater, 24 25 S-1-a-t-e-r.

147 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: How are you, Miss Slater? 1 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Good. THE COURT: Miss Slater, we have our five 3 questions here. Do you have any yes's to any of those? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Go have a seat. Thank you. 6 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 7 8 THE CLERK: Juror 337, Emily Hulsizer, 9 H-u-l-s-i-z-e-r. 10 THE COURT: Miss Hulsizer, how are you? 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am well. How are you? 1.2 THE COURT: Very good, thanks. Any of our five 13 questions? 14 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 2. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So you know somebody, someone 16 that has been charged with a crime? 17 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Who was that? 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: That's -- felony robbery. 20 incident was in 2010. It was officially convicted in 2014. 21 THE COURT: Locally? 2.2 POTENTIAL JUROR: It was downstate. It was a 23 24 federal case. THE COURT: Okay. And is everything over with 25

148 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -1 now? 2 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, I never did any time. 3 THE COURT: Got you. POTENTIAL JUROR: Just got a conviction. 4 5 THE COURT: Now, obviously, it was a huge event in your life. It took four years to be resolved. Is there 6 7 anything about that that would not allow you to be fair and 8 impartial in this case? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: No, not at all. 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CENTRA: Nothing. 11 MR. LOFARO: Nothing, Judge. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks for all your honesty. 13 I appreciate it. 14 15 16 THE CLERK: Juror No. 225, Richard Mosley, 17 M-o-s-l-e-y. THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Mosley? 18 19 POTENTIAL JUROR: Congratulations just on your election. 20 21 THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it. How 22 about 1 through 5? POTENTIAL JUROR: I have some medical problems. 23 That's why I asked her. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25

149 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: I had a colonoscopy last 1 Tuesday, and endoscopy last Tuesday. My gallbladder on 2 Friday. I have to go to the doctor tomorrow four o'clock. 3 THE COURT: This is probably? 4 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, probably. 5 THE COURT: Yes. But you know what, they can't, 6 unfortunately, I apologize, but they changed all the rules. 7 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 8 THE COURT: We can't do anything until we get to 9 this point. Any consent? 10 MR. LOFARO: No problem. 11 POTENTIAL JUROR: I know Fitz. My kids went to 12 Joe is my brother-in-law. 13 CBA. THE COURT: I can't let you go twice. 14 MR. LOFARO: We are not going to hold that 15 against you. Good luck with everything, Mr. Mosley. 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: Thank you. 17 18 THE CLERK: Juror No. 307, Jonathan Askew, 19 20 A-s-k-e-w. THE COURT: I am sorry, Diane, I missed that 21 completely. 2.2 That's okay. 307, Jonathan Askew, THE CLERK: 23 24 A-s-k-e-w.

THE COURT: Askew?

25

150 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 1 THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Askew? 2 3 POTENTIAL JUROR: I am good. THE COURT: Good. Thanks. We have our five 4 5 questions here, John? POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 1, I have been the victim 6 7 of some minor crimes. THE COURT: Let's talk about that. 8 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Broken, my car broken into two 10 different times. Kid -- up one time, somebody with a credit card. 11 THE COURT: Anything about those incidents? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: No. 13 THE COURT: You couldn't be fair and impartial? 14 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: I could be fair and impartial. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 16 POTENTIAL JUROR: My father was a cocaine dealer. 17 THE COURT: Was what? 18 POTENTIAL JUROR: My father was a cocaine dealer. 19 20 THE COURT: A-hum. 21 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't know if that 22 disqualified me. 23 THE COURT: It doesn't. Only if you say it does. 24 POTENTIAL JUROR: I don't think it would. was a lot of -- from DWI's. 25

151 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -THE COURT: 1 Sure. What we have here is we have a 2 charge of possession of a controlled substance with intent 3 to sell, and possession of controlled substance, that being cocaine. 4 5 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 6 THE COURT: Now understanding what your father 7 went through and what you are aware of, can you still be 8 fair and impartial in this case, put those things aside? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Okay. 10 THE COURT: And the same with anybody else you 11 know that has been charged with anything? 12 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. That, I could be 13 impartial. 14 THE COURT: Great. 15 POTENTIAL JUROR: Medical condition, my wife is 16 pregnant, thinking it might come early. 17 MR. LOFARO: Congratulations. POTENTIAL JUROR: She is 26 weeks. 18 already put her on disability two months ago. 19 20 THE COURT: Would you be able to stay with us 21 through the week? If there is something came up, we always would have an alternate. 22 23 POTENTIAL JUROR: Right. 24 THE COURT: Right now, is she okay?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, right now, she is fine.

25

```
152
                   - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -
                  THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
1
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: No.
2
                  THE COURT: Mr. Centra, any questions?
3
                  MR. CENTRA: No. Nothing, Your Honor.
4
5
                  MR. LOFARO: The relation to your dad right now?
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: He has been dead for seven
6
7
        years.
                  MR. LOFARO: Sorry to hear that.
8
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: That's all right. With his
 9
        life-style, I expected it.
10
11
                  THE COURT: Jeez. You turned out very well.
12
        Have a seat.
13
                  POTENTIAL JUROR: All right.
                  THE COURT: Okay. Diane, hold one second. Guys,
14
        you two fine gentlemen.
15
                  MR. LOFARO: I tell you what I have.
16
17
                  THE COURT: I am not asking. I just want, could
18
        we stop now?
                  MR. CENTRA: I am okay with. I got a few.
19
                  MR. LOFARO: I am not going to make any Batson
20
21
        challenges.
                  THE COURT: You don't want her anyway. We are
22
23
        going to stop there, Diane.
                   THE CLERK: Okay. Good.
24
25
         (Back in open court:)
```

#### - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, folks. Let me ask you the six questions I have. And then we will take a 10-minute break. Then we will get to the attorneys. And then hopefully, we will finish up.

Do any of you know Mr. Jennings, Mr. LoFaro or Mr. Centra?

(Juror response.)

THE COURT: Do any of you know the district attorney or any of his office staff? All right.

Do any of you have any close friend -- excuse me, or relative working in law enforcement? Start with you, sir?

JUROR NO. 3: My son is a sheriff.

THE COURT: Yes.

JUROR NO. 3: Onondaga County. And my nephew, John Cameron, is a Syracuse police officer.

THE COURT: And I know we talked about this earlier, sir. And you told us, with those relationships, you feel you could still be fair and impartial, is that correct?

JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Who else in the back row had their hand up? Yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 6: My childhood best friend, his brother is a Syracuse cop.

154 - Voir dire - Panel II - Court -1 THE COURT: Was there anybody on the list I 2 called? 3 JUROR NO. 6: No. 4 THE COURT: Great. And with that relationship, 5 sir, can you tell us, you could still be fair and impartial? 6 7 JUROR NO. 6: Yes. THE COURT: Yes. Anybody else in the back? 8 the front, law enforcement? Right. Yes, sir? 9 JUROR NO. 14: My sister is a parole officer. 10 THE COURT: For New York State? 11 12 JUROR NO. 14: Yes. THE COURT: Is she local? 13 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes, Onondaga County. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And with that relationship and 15 that knowledge, could you be fair and impartial here? 16 JUROR NO. 14: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else, law 18 19 enforcement? Folks, let me read you the witness list. 20 it to you earlier. Officer Jeremy Decker. Officer Darrin 21 22 Ettinger. Sergeant David Proud. Chief Frank Fowler. Jennifer Wilson. Willie Jones. Howard Davis. 23 Chaplain. Hatisha Holmes. And Mallory Livingston. Does 24 anyone know personally any of those individuals? Yes, sir? 25

- Voir dire - Panel II - Court -

JUROR NO. 11: Frank Fowler.

1,

THE COURT: How do you know Mr. Fowler?

JUROR NO. 11: He visits my work often. I work in the school system.

THE COURT: As I said, he may be a witness in this case. Can you tell us, sir, that if the Chief had to testify, could you judge his credibility just like any others witness?

JUROR NO. 11: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it. Folks, my last question is: Can you promise me that you will follow each instruction that I give you? Yes? All right.

What we are going to do is we are going to take a break for ten minutes. I have five of 3:00. We will reconvene at five after 3:00.

You know the admonitions. Don't talk about the case. Don't let anybody talk about the case. And if anyone does try to approach you in any way, let us know, okay? And remember your seat where you're sitting. We will come back and then we will listen to what the lawyers have to say.

COURT ATTENDANT: Everybody in the back, yes, you do have to return, please.

THE COURT: We are saving you from going across the street.

2.2

- Voir dire - Panel II - Court -

(Recessed at 2:56, and jury selection of Panel II resumed at 3:06 p.m.)

THE COURT: Are we all set? All right. We are back in session with both counsel, and Mr. Jennings, and our jurors. And Mr. Centra, are you ready to ask some questions?

MR. CENTRA: I am, Judge.

THE COURT: All right, sir.

(Voir Dire Examination of Panel II by Mr. Centra:)

MR. CENTRA: Good morning, everybody.

JURORS: Good afternoon.

MR. CENTRA: Good afternoon, I guess. Thanks for coming back. I know you all sat here and listened to me the first time, so I will give you, call it the CliffsNotes version of everything I just went through so I am not keeping you here as long as I did last time. But again, my name is Joe Centra. I am here representing the People of the State of New York. As I said earlier, and the Judge will tell you, it's my job to prove my case beyond a reasonable doubt. And as I said, I embrace that burden. I gave the other, the other group the analogy the difference between reasonable doubt and any or all doubt. And you know, like I said, take a look outside, see snow on the ground, you come to the reasonable assumption that it snowed. Although it's possible that a Hollywood crew came

- Voir dire - Panel II - Centra -

through and set up a winter scene outside. It's not reasonable to believe that happened. Like I said, it's a little analogy to keep you guys, to get you guys to a little understanding, and the Judge will explain it further, that's my way of kind of easing it down.

So as you heard, this is a case that involves possession of drugs. And on top of that, possession with the intent to sell. Specifically, this is involving cocaine. Anybody here, hear these facts, uncomfortable for anybody? Yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes, I went through the exact same situation when I was a kid. Except I had two bags of pot. I bought them off the exact same day, smoked pretty much most the one. And I was arrested that day. The police tried to threaten me with a bunch of mocked up charges. They said intent to sell. Which I don't believe that for a second. I know it was untrue in my case. I don't believe it's true in this case, really.

MR. CENTRA: Fair enough. And like I said, so are you telling me that you know there are going to be some officers that come up here and testify. You're not going to be able to be fair and impartial in listening to their testimony?

JUROR NO. 2: If one of the officers said he had a scale on him, I might. But it sounds like he is having a

- Voir dire - Panel II - Centra -

fun night.

MR. CENTRA: That's fair enough. Anybody else have any issues here with that type of case? All right.

And like I touched on, briefly, there is, there is the one count which is the intent to sell which we spoke about. The other is based on the weight. And like I said, the weight in this case is just over 1,200 milligrams of cocaine contained in the aggregate weight of the substance that was found. I know that that seems like it's a very small amount but the way that the law is written, that's what the law is. And the Judge will read that to you.

But based on that, would anybody have a problem finding the defendant guilty if I prove my case beyond a reasonable doubt just because of the amount, the amount that's alleged here?

JUROR NO. 2: (Indicating.)

MR. CENTRA: The same reasons?

JUROR NO. 2: Again, it sounds like there was one full bag and like he bought two full bags.

THE COURT: Let me stop. Stop. Stop. We don't know anything about the case. Not one thing. All right. So I don't want to talk about the facts of this case at all or anybody. I don't mean you, sir. But we are not going to talk about the facts of the case until we have a witness, swear to tell the truth, and sit down in that

- Voir dire - Panel II - Centra -

chair. We don't want to guess or make assumptions.

Because that's the last thing we need to do. The only way
we are going to resolve this case is by the evidence, sworn
testimony and the exhibits. Okay, gentlemen? Thank you.

MR. CENTRA: Okay. Now another thing that the Judge is going to have you look at, he will instruct you is it's your job to look at the credibility and determine the credibility of the witnesses here. And like I said, I like to use the example, with the, you know, your children. You can see that they are -- how many of you have children here?

#### (Response.)

MR. CENTRA: Miss Morgan?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: How many children do you have?

JUROR NO. 1: Two.

MR. CENTRA: What are their ages?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Eight and six.

MR. CENTRA: That's a perfect age for my analogy here. So, how can you tell if your kids were trying to lie to you or get away with something?

JUROR NO. 1: Usually, I know what's going on.

Or if you know they are not going to take the blame for something they didn't do. So the other one, they are going to rat each other out for sure.

- Voir dire - Panel II - Centra -

MR. CENTRA: You can kind of tell?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Right.

MR. CENTRA: What they say, things like that?

POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: Like I said before, it's that, what I want you to take into consideration, it's look at, use, these are common sense that you brought in here. Use your life experience and look at these witnesses and be able to, you know, listen to what they have to say. And you, the jury, you get to judge their credibility. The Judge is going to instruct you on that as well.

You know, like I said, I have gone through a lot, you all heard this. Is there anybody here have any questions for me? Anybody have any moral or religious beliefs that prevent them from finding him guilty if I proof my case beyond a reasonable doubt?

Now can everybody promise me that you will keep an open mind during the course of this whole trial and listen to the evidence presented, and at the end of this, listen to what the Judge has to say with regards to the law and use everything that the Judge instructs you to determine your verdict?

Thank you, everybody, for your time?

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Centra. Mr. LoFaro?

(Voir Dire Examination of Panel II by Mr. LoFaro:)

- Voir dire - Panel II - LoFaro -

MR. LOFARO: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Again, I often agree with Mr. Centra. I agree with him on most of the things that he said. There is the snow analogy. I have to take issue, to a certain extent. That's actually why everyone is here, to see if each and every element of the charge that's alleged has been satisfied. Not just to look at a big picture, go: If it looks like it's snow, I guess it's snowing. No. If it's like a house, it may be a house, it may be a house of cards, though it's not really a house. So you need to listen to every scintilla of evidence that comes in and evaluate each and every scintilla of evidence that comes in. And all of these things are relevant.

Just briefly, and again, I apologize to digressing. I had a case once, in a high school, in front of a jury of people. I will call them a jury but they were part of the council that assembled for the actions of children, to decide whether to compel them to keep them in. Another lawyer was missing. Another lady was falling asleep. Nobody was really paying attention. It was a young student's academic life that was on the line, so to speak. And she got in a fight. And I found out through another friend of mine that another girl had gotten into a fight; she didn't get expelled. She didn't get suspended. Nothing happened to her. I think we were actually

#### - Voir dire - Panel II - LoFaro -

penalized. My client chose to bring in an attorney. One of the school administrators said: Well, I am treating your girl reasonably; I will treat her the -- because I treated the other girl, because I have never seen such a level of hatred as the level of hatred that your client had for that other student. And my client -- obviously, was to him, was, how did you get inside that student's head, and assess one level of hatred as opposed to another level of hatred? And decide you were going to treat her differently than you treated the other student? Only she knew what her level of hatred was, if there was hatred there at all.

That goes back to a very, very basic precept within the law itself. That's, for most crimes, not all crimes, some crimes are statutory. But most crimes, there is two, not just a crime itself, but there is two elements of every crime that need to be satisfied. There is an action — and mens re. The act I have committed, the crime, you have to have done the action. The other element needs to be — the mens re. That's the mental state. You have to have had that intent, and without, again, getting into the facts as the Judge admonished us, and again, not to use Mr. Centra's work against him, but he said, the cocaine that was seized was a minute amount of a very, very small amount. Now you can't infer anything from that definitively until you hear the evidence. But I think when

- Voir dire - Panel II - LoFaro -

you hear the evidence, you're going to think to yourself,

Jeez, if there is an infinitely small amount of cocaine on
his person, what's the likelihood that he was selling it or
distributing it to others? Especially if it was packaged
on its own. And in one bag. So that's something to think
about down the road.

And there is some other things that I think you're going to find very disturbing with regard to framing on someone's God-given constitutional rights, with regard to my client. That you're not to be too happy to hear. I will ask you to keep a keen ear open for those as well.

Again, thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. LoFaro.

MR. LOFARO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Three or four minutes?

Folks, we will follow the same process, when the attorneys are ready, we will go to the podium. We will choose our other jurors. Again, feel free to talk quietly amongst yourselves. Hopefully, we will get a jury with this right here.

(At 3:17 p.m., the following Challenges to Panel II occurred at the Bench with all parties present.)

THE COURT: All right. We need one juror to be the panel right now. We can just need one. We are going to go one at a time.

#### - Challenges - Panel II -

Start with Miss Morgan. With regards to Miss Morgan, Mr. Centra challenge for cause?

MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any challenge for cause on Morgan?

MR. LOFARO: No, Judge.

POTENTIAL JUROR: All right. Any peremptory on Miss Morgan?

MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

MR. LOFARO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. We have our 12th juror, which is Melissa Morgan. And we need two alternates. We are going to go one at a time. Mr. Castagna, I think we are going to challenge for cause, aren't we?

MR. CENTRA: We are, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to challenging Mr. Castagna for cause, as much as you would like to have him?

MR. LOFARO: I think there is nothing I can do about that.

THE COURT: I will, of course, you will allow that challenge for cause?

MR. LOFARO: I wonder if --

THE COURT: Let's go to No. 3, Mr. Smith. Any cause challenges for Smith?

MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

165 - Challenges - Panel II -Any cause challenge for Smith? THE COURT: 1 MR. LOFARO: Well, it's kind of close but I guess 2 3 I will say no. THE COURT: Okay. Any preempts for Smith? 4 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 5 6 MR. LOFARO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. That's all right. 7 going to go to Mildred Ganias. Any cause for Ganias? 8 MR. CENTRA: The only issue I have is her back 9 1.0 issue. I don't know. THE COURT: Yes. And that's a good question. 11 She did say she has a herniated disk. She did tell me that 12 she could sit at least for an hour. And if it was a 13 problem, she would let us know. So unless you guys 14 15 consent, I will keep her. 16 MR. CENTRA: I don't have a challenge for cause. MR. LOFARO: I would consent. I don't care. 17 18 MR. CENTRA: Okay. 19 THE COURT: I appreciate that. I appreciate 20 that, gentlemen. I think that's a good idea. We will let Ms. Ganias go for consent. 21 22 We are on to Miss Brown. Any cause challenge for 23 Miss Brown, Mr. Centra? MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro?

166 - Challenges - Panel II -1 MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Any preempt there, Mr. Centra? 3 MR. CENTRA: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Miss Brown has been challenged by the People. We will turn over to next, 6, 5 6 Mr. Powers. Any cause for Mr. Powers, Mr. Centra? 7 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Mr. LoFaro? 9 MR. LOFARO: No. 10 THE COURT: Any preempt on Powers? 11 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 12 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 13 THE COURT: All right. That's your second. you don't have another one for the first alternate. You do 14 15 know what I mean? 16 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So we are on to Mr. Dietz. 18 Any cause for Dietz? 19 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Any cause for Dietz? 21 MR. LOFARO: I am out of preempts? 22 THE COURT: Yes. For the first alternate. 23 you have any cause challenge for Dietz? 24 MR. LOFARO: No. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Preempts for Dietz?

167 - Challenges - Panel II -1 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: So Dietz is our first alternate. 3 MR. LOFARO: Oh, I blew it. 4 THE COURT: Now we need one more. That far, 5 Lisowski. Any cause challenge for Lisowski? 6 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Cause for Lisowski, No. 8, John? 8 MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Preempt? 10 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. LOFARO: Yes, Judge. 12 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, Bukowski, any 13 challenge for Bukowski? 14 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Cause for Bukowski, John? 16 MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Preempt for Bukowski? 18 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor. 19 John, preempt for Bukowski? THE COURT: 20 MR. LOFARO: Yes. 21 THE COURT: You have one left. 22 MR. LOFARO: Yes. THE COURT: All right. And we are on to Miss 23 24 Any cause challenge? Grome. 25 MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

## - Challenges - Panel II -

MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any preempt?

MR. CENTRA: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Miss Grome is alternate No. 2, no thanks to Mr. Centra. Okay. So knows what I am going to do.

(Off the Record discussion.)

THE COURT: Folks, we have our jurors that we are going to need. I am going to call three names. Those three will stay with us.

The rest you that are in what we call the box, we are going to have you come up and see Miss Helbig. She going to give you your paperwork. You're going to go down to room 130. And the folks in the back are going to go down, but they don't need any paperwork.

THE CLERK: I will get theirs.

THE COURT: Folks, those of you who weren't chosen at all, thank you very much for being here, being part of the process. For those that were questioned, I thank you also.

Those staying with us, will be Melissa Morgan.

Donald Dietz and Doris Grome.

The rest of you can file up here and get the paperwork from Miss Helbig.

The rest of you go downstairs. Thank you very

#### - Jurors sworn -

1 much, folks.

(Pause for jurors leaving the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Folks, we are back in the presence of both counsel, and our three jurors that we just picked. I am going to ask the three of you to do me a favor and stand up for me and raise your right hand. You do hereby agree to --

(The selected jurors of Panel II were sworn by the Court.)

THE COURT: Thank you, folks. Sit right back down. What I am going to do is I am going to bring in the other nine jurors. There is eleven jurors. The other eleven jurors, they will all come in. I have a set of preliminary charges that I will read, and then we will break for the day, okay? All right.

(Pause as selected jurors of Panel I walked into the courtroom and sat in jury box with selected jurors of Panel II.)

THE COURT: We are back in the presence of the sworn jurors, and both counsel, and Mr. Jennings.

Folks, I appreciate your patience. After today, when we assemble in the juryroom in the morning, you will be able to leave your belongings in there while court proceeds. You don't have to be bringing things in and out, which is great.

Let me tell you how we are going to go forward.

I have preliminary instructions, so it's only about 300

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

170

pages -- it's only about five pages, all right -- that I will read to you. And then we are going to break for the day, okay, when I get done with my preliminary instructions.

I do a calendar every morning, starting at nine o'clock; we do our calendar of other cases. And then we get right to the jury, back to the jury. So, what I will have you do is get here at 10:15. If you can get here at 10:15, and our court officer will show you where to assemble. And hopefully, we can start as close to 10:30 as possible. The main thing with me, I let the attorneys know is not to inconvenience the jurors. I don't like to keep you in the juryroom too long. There are things that take place outside your presence that we have to do. things that we have to do in court, outside the presence of the jury, and we will try to do those as much as possible. I try to hopefully minimize your sitting around. And it does happen, and I apologize in advance. But as I said, we will try to start as close to 10:30 as we can. And we will get our morning break. We will get our lunch break. will go in the afternoon. We go, you remember, usually until four o'clock in the afternoon or as close to there as possible. The only day that we may go past that is the day of deliberations. If we have a feeling that we are getting close to getting things accomplished, we can go as late as

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

171

six o'clock, okay? But no later than that. And we will give you notice if we are going to do that. I wanted to let you know what our schedule is going to be like.

Then just let me give you my preliminary instructions. As you see, Pat Reagan is our court reporter. And Pat has taken down everything that's being What he takes down is called the record of the case. Sometimes you're going to see a witness use their hands to illustrate something. They may say something is "this" long. And hopefully, the lawyer or the Court will say: Let the record reflect it indicates a foot. We do that because it becomes necessary sometimes to have the court reporter read back what a witness says and what the witness was indicating. And if someone doesn't state orally what a witness is indicating with their hands, when that portion is read back, we are not going to know. You will be able to see what a witness is indicating and you can always make your own judgment.

The trial formally begins with what we call an opening statement by the prosecutor. The law requires the prosecutor to make an opening statement. The law however does not require the defendant to make an opening statement. If the defendant does not make an opening statement, that's not a factor from which you may draw an unfavorable inference. Remember, folks, what the lawyers

say in an opening statement, or any time thereafter, is not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What I say is not evidence. I'm not a witness. In other words, you have to decide the case on the evidence. And what the lawyers or I say is not evidence.

After completion of the opening statement, the prosecutor is going to proceed with presentation of evidence. I will remind you that the piece of paper that brought us here, the indictment, is not evidence. It's a document that contains an accusation. The defendant has pled not guilty to those charges, and this trial is to hear the evidence and decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. I remind you that the evidence, the evidence is the testimony of the witnesses, any stipulations that may be entered into, and documents or other physical objects that I receive in evidence. Testimony is the most common form of evidence, and comes from the questioning of the witnesses by the lawyers, and perhaps by the Court.

A question by itself is not evidence. A question by itself is not evidence. It's the question with the answer that's the evidence.

Evidence may come in the form of a stipulation when the parties agree to something, they would present that to us.

And lastly, evidence may come in the form of

physical objects such as: A document, a photograph, clothing or something like that.

When a lawyer is questioning a witness, and a question refers to a physical object, the object is normally marked with a number or a letter of the alphabet so we can more easily identify the object and refer to it. That procedure is very helpful in keeping track of the physical objects. The attorneys here have been asked if possible to pre-mark exhibits that they reasonably anticipate will be shown to a witness, and thereafter be offered as evidence at this trial.

Sometimes a lawyer will ask the Court to receive the object into evidence. If I grant the request to admit the object in evidence, then the object becomes evidence, and it will be made available for your inspection and consideration during deliberations.

Any object or any piece of evidence that has been marked for identification but was not received will not be available for your inspection during deliberations because it is not evidence received in this case.

An example of a document that may be marked for identification but not received is what we call refreshing a witness' recollection. Sometimes a witness will be asked a question, and then that witness will say that they cannot remember what is being asked for, but will say: Is there

#### - Court - Opening -

something that will refresh your recollection? And be given an opportunity to review something, usually a document, such as their statement. The witness will be given the document, permitted to read it silently. If that document refreshes the witness' recollection, the witness will then answer the question, and the question with the answer is the evidence.

It is common and permissible for an attorney or an investigator, for an attorney to speak to a witness about his or her testimony before calling them to the stand. Also, a witness may review documents and other material pertaining to the case before he or she testifies at trial. Generally, a witness scheduled to testify at trial may not be present in the courtroom during the testimony of other witnesses.

After the People have completed the presentation of their evidence, the defendant may, but is not required, to present evidence.

I remind you that throughout these proceedings, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. As a result, you must find the defendant not guilty, unless on the evidence presented at this trial, you conclude that the People have proven the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant is not required to prove that he is not guilty. In fact, the defendant is not required to

#### - Court - Opening -

prove or disprove anything. To the contrary, the People have the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That means before you can find the defendant guilty of a crime, the People must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime, including that the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

The burden of proof never shifts from the People to the defendant. If the People fail to satisfy their burden, you must find the defendant not guilty. If the People satisfy their burden, you must find the defendant guilty.

Each witness by whomever called is first examined, that is, asked questions by the lawyer who calls the witness to testify. That's called direct examination. When the direct examination is over, the other lawyer is permitted to ask questions of the witness. That's called cross-examination. You can then have redirect, and recross, but that is, under our law, the scope of that is limited.

Sometimes jurors will say: Can we take notes?

Could we ask questions? And the answer to both of those are no. All right. The lawyers are responsible for asking questions of the witnesses. The Court may at times ask a witness a question. Jurors in New York State are not allowed to ask questions of a witness.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### - Court - Opening -

As far as note-taking goes, folks, the reason that we don't allow note-taking is this: As I said, Mr. Reagan takes down every word that's being said in the courtroom. And if things are, if things are being said at the same time, he will stop us, and make sure he can take everything down. If you want, if you want something read back at the end of this trial, everything that has been said, he will read back to you. Whatever you're looking for, he will read back. Okay? But, if you're taking notes, it's very easy to miss something somebody said while you're concentrating on the note. And the other thing is, I really like to have your attention on the person that's testifying because the credibility isn't determined by what they say but how they say it, and their demeanor. So I appreciate your understanding, and that's how we are going to deal with the notes in the case.

And we will talk a little bit about credibility. You alone determine the truthfulness and accuracy of the testimony of each witness. You must decide whether a witness told the truth, and was accurate. Or instead, testified falsely or was mistaken.

You must also decide what importance to give to the testimony you accept as truthful and accurate. Again, it's the quality of the testimony that controls, not the number of witnesses who testify.

- Court - Opening -

There is no particular formula for evaluating the truthfulness and accuracy of another person's statements or testimony. You bring to this process all of your varied experiences in life. You frequently have to decide the truthfulness and accuracy of statements made to you by other people. The same factors used to make those decisions should be used in this case when evaluating the testimony. At the end of the trial, I am going to give you some more examples of those factors.

There are rules for all stages of a trial, including rules that govern whether certain evidence may be introduced and, if so, how and when. Part of my job is to enforce those rules. Some of the rules you may understand when you hear the ruling. Some of them you may not understand, unless you have studied the law. The rules have been carefully developed over hundreds of years for the sole purpose of guaranteeing a fair and orderly trial. In other words, these rules are not designed to determine whether the evidence you see and hear is true or false, accurate or inaccurate. It's for you, not me, to evaluate the evidence and make that decision.

The rules are designed to insure that the evidence you hear and see is relevant and in a form that permits you to evaluate it fairly.

A witness can testify only about matters the

witness has personal knowledge of. That is, something that the witness has personally seen, heard, felt, touched or tasted. A witness is not permitted to guess, speculate, or say what he thinks another person saw or heard, felt, touched or tasted. A witness is not permitted to give an opinion about matters for which a special expertise is necessary, unless of course that witness is an expert on the matter.

Finally, a witness is not permitted to testify to hearsay. And you most likely heard that phrase in the past. Hearsay, meaning, generally that a witness cannot testify to what the witness may have said before the trial, or what another person may have said to that witness.

There are exceptions to hearsay, and I will make those determinations as we move along during the course of the trial.

During the presentation of evidence, the lawyers for the parties will in turn be asking questions of a witness. During that questioning, the lawyer is not permitted to make comments on a witness' answer or the case. You will often see that on TV where he makes some witty response, all right. In real trials, it's not allowed. In a real trial, it is at the end of the case that the lawyers are permitted to address the jurors in what is called a summation. And it is then that the

lawyers may comment on the witnesses, the testimony and other evidence.

During the questioning of a witness, if a lawyer believes a question or some other presentation of evidence is not in accord with the rule of law, the lawyer will object. When an objection is made, I will decide whether the rules permit the question to be asked, or the evidence to be introduced. Making objections is part of a lawyer's job. You're not to draw any unfavorable inference because objections are made. They take place at every trial. A lawyer may object before a witness answers a question or after a witness answers that question.

When an objection is made to a question before the witness answers, if I overrule the objection, I am going to allow the witness to answer. If I sustain it, there will not be an answer and therefore, no evidence.

A question alone is not evidence.

If the lawyer objects after the witness answers the question, and I overrule it, the answer stands. If I sustain the objection, the answer is not evidence, the question and the answer are stricken from the record, and you are going to completely disregard the answer.

Any ruling by the Court on an objection of counsel or otherwise is based on our law and it expresses no opinion about the facts of the case or whether the

defendant is guilty or not guilty. Again, you folks are responsible for that decision.

there may be conferences here at the Bench with the attorneys. And if they become prolonged, I may have to ask to excuse the jury. These conferences deal with questions and matters of law or scheduling that are my responsibility. So when that occasion does arise, and when I have to do this outside your presence, I ask you to be patient and understanding while the conferences are conducted.

Upon completion of the evidence in this case, the lawyers will address you in a closing statement, or what we call a summation. What a lawyer says in summation is not evidence. The summations, however, provide each lawyer an opportunity to review the evidence presented and submit for your consideration, the facts, inferences and conclusions which they contend may be properly drawn from the evidence presented.

After the summations of counsel, I am going to instruct you on the rules of law applicable to this case. You must accept and follow those rules. You're then going to begin your deliberations.

During your deliberations, your function as jurors will be to decide what the facts are, and to apply

## - Court - Opening -

the rules of law that I set out. You will determine the facts from all the testimony that you hear, the exhibits that are submitted, and any stipulations. In other words, you will decide the case on the evidence.

The conclusion you reach from determining the facts and applying the law will be your verdict, guilty or not guilty, as to each count.

Under our law, the first juror chosen will serve as our foreperson. During the trial, the foreperson has the same responsibilities as any other juror. And the foreperson at the end will announce the jury's verdict.

So, in summary, here are the stages of our trial:

Openings, presentation of evidence, summations, final
instructions by the Court, and the deliberation of the jury
and the verdict.

If -- hopefully, this doesn't come up but it does come up during trials so we like to talk about it -- if you need to speak to me about something relating to your jury service or the trial, please tell the court officer that you need to speak to me. I will then arrange an appropriate meeting with the parties, in the courtroom. We don't do anything outside the presence of all parties, and our court reporter.

Please do not discuss with your fellow jurors whatever you feel necessary to bring to my attention.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

182

After we have had our conversation, again, do not discuss with your fellow jurors whatever it was we discussed. It doesn't always happen -- it doesn't often happen, but it happens, if something of such a magnitude happens and you need to speak to me, that's the process that we will use, okay?

During a trial, as I said, we will try our best to avoid delay. From my experience, I do know delays are inevitable. And of course, we ask for your understanding and your patience. I also request that you be here at the times I set forth, so the absence or lateness of a juror is If we are here, and we are not the occasion for delay. ready to go, great. If a juror is sick and can't make it in, we will have the number for you to call and things of that nature. But, it is best, we are asking you to be on time or early, actually. If an emergency arises that would make you late or prevents you from attending, please call the court. Leave a number where you could be reached. Explain the problem so we can minimize everyone's inconvenience. And we will get you those phone numbers. Thank you.

Of course, an alternate juror is expected to pay the same close attention to the case as any one of our 12 jurors. The only difference between an alternate and of the 12 is that the alternate does not know at this time

1.8

2.0

# - Court - Opening -

whether that juror will be called upon at some point during the trial to substitute for one of the 12 jurors. It can happen. It has happened many times. And I know that the two of you will pay close attention.

Folks, that's all the instructions I have for this evening. We are going to break. We are going to meet tomorrow at 10:15. Chrissie will show everybody where to congregate.

Again, do not converse either among yourselves or with anyone else about anything related to this case.

Do not at any time during the trial request, accept or agree to accept or discuss with any person the receipt or acceptance of any payment or benefit in return for supplying information.

Promptly report to me any incident within your knowledge involving any attempt by any person to improperly influence you or any other member of the jury.

Do not visit or view the premises or place where the crime was allegedly committed.

Don't do any Internet search, any social media, anything of that nature at all with regard to this case.

Don't post anything about it. The fact that you're here, none of those things.

All right. Folks, have a great night. We will see you tomorrow morning. Thank you.

# - Court - Opening -

(Jurors left the courtroom at 3:45 p.m.)

THE COURT: We are outside the presence of the jury. We have Mr. Centra, Mr. LoFaro and Mr. Jennings. And gentlemen, I know we are going to start tomorrow with opening statements. And then Joe, you will have your witnesses ready for us?

MR. CENTRA: I will, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything else we need to discuss this evening before we break, Mr. Centra?

MR. CENTRA: Judge, I just wanted to touch base on the potential witnesses that Mr. LoFaro may call with regards to this case.

COURT ATTENDANT: Judge, do we have any sheets made up with the phone numbers?

THE COURT: I don't.

MR. CENTRA: I do intend on asking for an offer of proof on that. I don't know how the Court is going to handle it before each witness or as a whole?

THE COURT: No. I would suggest that, I know Mr. LoFaro, Mr. Jennings will be talking. And maybe at the end of business tomorrow, we can, if you're asking for an offer of proof, we can do that tomorrow at the end of the day, if everybody will remind me. I know there is a list of individuals. It was Mr. Jones that was allegedly in the car with Mr. Jennings. I can understand where his

185 - Court - Opening -1 testimony could be relevant. And then we have one, two, 2 three, four, five other individuals. And at this point, an 3 offer of proof probably won't be a bad idea. But we can do 4 that tomorrow at the end of the day. 5 MR. CENTRA: Okay. 6 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Centra? 7 MR. CENTRA: Judge, I wanted to clarify for my 8 potential witness list, we had Willie Jones? 9 POTENTIAL JUROR: Yes. 10 MR. CENTRA: Dave Chaplain? 11 THE COURT: David Chaplain. 12 MR. CENTRA: Hatisha Holmes? 13 THE COURT: Hatisha with an H. 14 MR. CENTRA: Frank Fowler? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. CENTRA: Mallory Livingston? 17 THE COURT: And Howard Davis. 18 MR. CENTRA: Howard Davis. 19 THE COURT: And is it too much to ask, John, do 20 you have dates of birth of any of these folks? 21 MR. LOFARO: No, I don't, Judge. I apologize. 22 That's all right. Anything else, Mr. THE COURT: 23 Centra? 24 MR. CENTRA: That's it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask you about exhibits.

25

## - Court - Opening -

not going to hold you to it, of course. It's just for housekeeping. Do you know how many exhibits you're going to have.

MR. CENTRA: I believe two, Honor.

THE COURT: Just two?

MR. CENTRA: Yes.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You will have those marked for us?

MR. CENTRA: I will.

THE COURT: Okay. And John, anything we need to put on the record before we break for the day?

MR. LOFARO: No, Judge. The only thing I would ask is I don't know why I don't seem to have it in my file, I appreciate, and I thank the Court for providing these two transcripts. Mr. Jennings' own testimony of the Grand Jury. I know you sent it over. I can't find a copy.

MR. CENTRA: From the most recent?

MR. LOFARO: Yes.

MR. CENTRA: Yes. It looks a little, yes, there are two. I guess they came in kind of two separate. I can show you the copy I have.

MR. LOFARO: Maybe I could get a copy tomorrow?

MR. CENTRA: I could give it to you right now.

MR. LOFARO: Great.

THE COURT: While you look for that, let me put on the record.

#### - Court - Opening -

MR. CENTRA: Actually, I mailed that one to you. Can you get that?

MR. LOFARO: No. Maybe somebody in my office grabbed it.

MR. CENTRA: I am going upstairs. I will get it.

THE COURT: We will get that done today.

I wanted to put on the record that we had had a pretrial in this case last week. And I wanted to cover everything that could possibly be covered during the course of trial, and I think we did. One of the things was civilian clothes for Mr. Jennings. And Mr. Jennings has decided not to wear civilian clothes, which is his right. But he was offered the opportunity to have civilian clothes. He decided not to. And again, I gave the admonition about the fact that he is in custody.

He has also decided, against my best advice, to continue to wear handcuffs during the course of the trial -- which is not required by the Onondaga Sheriff's Department. It's not required by this Court. But Mr. Jennings has decided to do so. I want to make sure that that's clear on the record.

All right. Anything else, Mr. LoFaro?

MR. LOFARO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Centra?

MR. CENTRA: No, Your Honor.

1.4

1.8

- Recess for day - 2/6/17 -THE COURT: All right. We will see you fellows tomorrow morning. MR. CENTRA: Thank you, Judge. (Recessed Trial for the day at 3:50 p.m.) 

P Pears